



**DRAFT STATEMENT**

April 28, 2010

4:49 AM

**NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH  
STATE-OF-THE-SCIENCE CONFERENCE STATEMENT**

NIH State-of-the-Science Conference:  
Preventing Alzheimer's Disease and Cognitive Decline  
April 26–28, 2010

*National Institutes of Health (NIH) consensus and state-of-the-science statements are prepared by independent panels of health professionals and public representatives on the basis of (1) the results of a systematic literature review prepared under contract with the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), (2) presentations by investigators working in areas relevant to the conference questions during a 2-day public session, (3) questions and statements from conference attendees during open discussion periods that are part of the public session, and (4) closed deliberations by the panel during the remainder of the second day and morning of the third. This statement is an independent report of the panel and is not a policy statement of NIH or the Federal Government.*

*The statement reflects the panel's assessment of medical knowledge available at the time the statement was written. Thus, it provides a "snapshot in time" of the state of knowledge on the conference topic. When reading the statement, keep in mind that new knowledge is inevitably accumulating through medical research.*

**Introduction**

1 Alzheimer's disease is the most common cause of dementia. It was first described in 1906, when  
2 German psychiatrist and neuropathologist Alois Alzheimer observed the pathological hallmarks  
3 of the disease in the brain of a female patient who had experienced memory loss, language  
4 problems, and unpredictable behavior, and who at post-mortem had abnormal clumps of protein  
5 (beta-amyloid plaques) and tangled bundles of protein fibers (neurofibrillary tangles) in the  
6 brain. An important breakthrough was the invention of a method for taking photographs through  
7 the lens of a microscope allowing the illustration of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles.  
8 Solomon Carter Fuller, an African American psychiatrist, invented this key innovation, the  
9 photomicrograph, in the early 1900s.

1  
2 Since its first description, Alzheimer’s disease diagnosis has undergone a transformation, from a  
3 rarely reported disorder to one of the most common disabling diseases among older individuals.  
4 The rapid aging of the U.S. population has reinforced the urgent need for prevention and  
5 treatment of all chronic diseases including Alzheimer’s disease. In most individuals, cognitive  
6 health and performance remain stable over the lifetime, with only a gradual and slight decline in  
7 short-term memory and reaction times. But for others, the decline in cognitive function  
8 progresses to a more serious state of cognitive impairment or into various forms of dementia.  
9 Mild cognitive impairment is a condition characterized by problems with memory, language, or  
10 other essential cognitive functions that are severe enough to be noticeable to others and are  
11 reflected on cognitive tests, but are not severe enough to interfere with daily life. Dementia is  
12 characterized by progressive global deterioration of cognitive abilities in multiple domains  
13 including memory and at least one additional area—learning, orientation, language,  
14 comprehension, and judgment—severe enough to interfere with daily life.

15  
16 Currently, Alzheimer’s disease diagnoses account for 60 to 80 percent of all individuals with  
17 dementia. An estimated 5.3 million Americans suffer from Alzheimer’s disease, and these  
18 numbers are expected to grow with the aging of the baby boomer generation; the prevalence of  
19 mild cognitive impairment is thought to be even higher. Alzheimer’s disease is the sixth leading  
20 cause of death in the United States, and the fifth leading cause of death in Americans ages 65 and  
21 older. Moreover, mortality from Alzheimer’s disease increased by 47 percent from 2000 to  
22 2006, as mortality due to other chronic diseases declined. Alzheimer’s disease and other  
23 dementias cost more than \$148 billion annually. Alzheimer’s disease also exacts a significant

1 toll from caregivers in terms of financial costs as well as on their own physical and mental  
2 well-being.

3  
4 To date, numerous studies have attempted to describe the etiology and factors associated with  
5 the risk of development and progression of mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease;  
6 these studies have generated an abundance of theories on potential risk factors and therapies.

7 Age is the strongest known risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease, with most people diagnosed with  
8 the late-onset form of the disease after age 60. An early-onset familial form also occurs, but is  
9 rare. Genetic, cardiovascular, and lifestyle factors also have been implicated.

10  
11 To examine these important questions about Alzheimer’s and cognitive decline in older people,  
12 the National Institute on Aging and the Office of Medical Applications of Research of the  
13 National Institutes of Health convened a State-of-the-Science Conference on April 26–28, 2010,  
14 to assess the available scientific evidence related to the following questions:

- 15
- 16 1. What factors are associated with the reduction of risk of Alzheimer’s disease?
  - 17
  - 18 2. What factors are associated with the reduction of risk of cognitive decline in older adults?
  - 19
  - 20 3. What are the therapeutic and adverse effects of interventions to delay the onset of
  - 21 Alzheimer’s disease? Are there differences in outcomes among identifiable subgroups?
  - 22

1 4. What are the therapeutic and adverse effects of interventions to improve or maintain  
2 cognitive ability or function? Are there differences in outcomes among identifiable  
3 subgroups?

4  
5 5. What are the relationships between the factors that affect Alzheimer’s disease and the  
6 factors that affect cognitive decline?

7  
8 6. If recommendations for interventions cannot be made currently, what studies need to be  
9 done to provide the quality and strength of evidence needed to make such  
10 recommendations to individuals?

11  
12 During the first 2 days of the NIH State-of-the-Science Conference on Preventing Alzheimer’s  
13 Disease and Cognitive Decline, experts presented information on each of the key questions.  
14 After weighing the scientific evidence—including the data presented by the speakers and a  
15 formal evidence report from the Evidence-based Practice Center (EPC) at Duke University’s  
16 Clinical Research Institute commissioned by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality  
17 (AHRQ) (available at <http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/tp/alzcogtp.htm>)—an independent consensus  
18 panel prepared and presented a draft of this state-of-the-science statement addressing the  
19 conference questions.

20  
21 Our review included relevant studies on the relationship of nutritional, medical factors  
22 (conditions and medications), social/economic/behavioral, environmental, and genetic factors  
23 with mild cognitive impairment and and/or Alzheimer’s disease. The scope of the review was

1 restricted to human studies conducted in developed countries—with sample sizes of at least 50  
2 participants for randomized control trials and 300 for observational studies and a minimum  
3 duration between exposure to prevention interventions and outcomes—to assess success of  
4 interventions of 1 year for studies of mild cognitive impairment and 2 years for studies of  
5 Alzheimer’s disease. The panel considered studies published in English with participants age 50  
6 and older, of both sexes, and of all racial and ethnic populations. Studies were rated based on  
7 their quality, using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation  
8 (GRADE) working group.

9

10 **1. What factors are associated with the reduction of risk of Alzheimer’s disease?**

11

12 There is currently no evidence considered to be of even moderate scientific quality supporting  
13 the association of any modifiable factor (nutritional supplements, herbal preparations, dietary  
14 factors, prescription or nonprescription drugs, social or economic factor, medical condition,  
15 toxins, environmental exposures) with reduced risk of Alzheimer’s disease.

16

17 **What We Know**

18

19 Genetic factors, particularly the apolipoprotein E (ApoE) DNA variation, have strong evidence  
20 of association with the risk of Alzheimer’s disease. Although it is hoped that improved  
21 understanding of genetic risk factors for Alzheimer’s disease may ultimately lead to effective  
22 therapies for this disease, the observed genetic associations are currently clinically relevant

1 largely as potential stratification factors in studies to identify additional risk factors and in  
2 clinical trials designed to test effectiveness of therapies.

3  
4 A number of factors have been reported to show association with risk of Alzheimer's disease  
5 across multiple studies, but the overall scientific quality of the evidence is considered low. This  
6 indicates that additional studies on these factors are likely to alter, perhaps substantially, the  
7 magnitude or direction of the observed associations. These factors include other diseases, such  
8 as diabetes, elevated blood cholesterol in mid-life, and depression, all reported to be associated  
9 with increased risk of Alzheimer's disease. Other factors reported to show association with  
10 Alzheimer's disease are relatively benign changes in diet, medication, or lifestyle that might  
11 allow individuals to feel more in control of their risk for Alzheimer's disease. Among the factors  
12 that might be considered in this category are adequate levels of folic acid, adherence to a diet  
13 low in saturated fats and high in fruits, vegetables, grains, nuts, fish and olive oil (such as the  
14 Mediterranean diet), use of statins, some use of alcohol (as little as one to two drinks<sup>1</sup> per week),  
15 more years of education, higher levels of cognitive engagement, and participation in physical  
16 activities. All of these factors are reported to be associated with reduced risk of Alzheimer's  
17 disease. Current smoking, never having been married, and having low social support are all  
18 reported to be associated with increased risk of Alzheimer's disease. However, the evidence for  
19 association of all of these factors with Alzheimer's disease was considered to be of low quality.  
20 Consistent associations were not found for other vitamins, fatty acids, metabolic syndrome,  
21 blood pressure, homocysteine, obesity and body mass index, antihypertensive medications,

---

<sup>1</sup> A standard definition of a drink of alcohol is defined as ~6 ounces of wine, ~12 ounces of beer or ~1.5 ounces of distilled spirits (e.g., whiskey, gin, vodka).

1 nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), gonadal steroids, solvents, electromagnetic  
2 fields, lead, or aluminum.

3

#### 4 **Limitations**

5

6 Among the challenges of interpreting the results of the studies on the diseases and other factors  
7 associated with Alzheimer's disease is the fact that the definition of Alzheimer's disease is not  
8 uniform. Another key challenge in interpreting the studies is distinguishing the factors  
9 associated with Alzheimer's disease from those factors associated with other late onset disorders  
10 that are commonly diagnosed in older individuals. For example, vascular disease also can lead  
11 to dementias, and because vascular disease is common in the elderly, it will often be present in  
12 individuals with Alzheimer's disease. Thus, it can be quite difficult to disentangle the factors  
13 associated with Alzheimer's disease because of their contribution to vascular disease and related  
14 dementias and those that are truly associated with Alzheimer's disease. Similarly, it is unclear  
15 whether some of the observed associations might simply reflect early features of Alzheimer's  
16 disease. The associations with depression, for example, might reflect an early stage of disease.

17

18 The primary limitation with most of these studies is the distinction between association and  
19 causality. Diseases are complex—determined and shaped by many variables. Factors that are  
20 reproducibly associated with disease, even when they are not contributing causally, can still be  
21 useful as potential predictors of risk. But the primary reason that association studies are  
22 conducted is to identify factors that might actually be contributing to risk of disease. A key  
23 problem with associations is that they often involve factors that are themselves correlated. For

1 example, individuals with higher levels of education are also more likely to have higher levels of  
2 cognitive engagement. When a set of correlated factors show an association with disease, it is  
3 difficult to determine whether any (or all) of the factors contributes causally to disease.  
4 Alternatively, one or more unobserved factors (correlated with the others) may actually account  
5 for the observed associations.

6

7 **2. What factors are associated with the reduction of risk of cognitive decline in older**  
8 **adults?**

9

10 Cognition is a combination of skills, including attention, learning, memory, language, visual  
11 spatial skills, and executive function, such as decisionmaking, goal setting, planning, and  
12 judgment. Decline in cognition ranges from the most severe forms of dementia, an example of  
13 which is Alzheimer's disease, to mild cognitive impairment. Cognitive decline is multicausal,  
14 and mild cognitive impairment does not inevitably lead to dementias such as Alzheimer's  
15 disease. For example, age-associated memory impairment is referenced to normal young adults  
16 and does not lead to dementia. Psychometric testing for the above-mentioned skills over varying  
17 time periods has been the predominant method for the evaluation of cognitive change, but  
18 functional cognitive decline is only weakly associated with pathological changes typical of  
19 Alzheimer's disease. The idea of cognitive reserve (the mind's resilience to neuropathological  
20 damage of the brain) has developed to explain variances in ability to cope physiologically and  
21 mentally with existing pathology. These issues have severely compromised the ability to design  
22 robust studies to determine factors that might prevent cognitive decline.

1

2 **What We Know**

3

4 For most factors, the available studies show either no association with cognitive decline or the  
5 evidence is inconclusive. Where an association was seen, the overall quality of the evidence was  
6 low. Many of the limitations stem from the fact that a good portion of the available evidence  
7 derives from studies that were originally designed and conducted to investigate conditions other  
8 than cognitive decline (e.g., cardiovascular disease, cancer). Cognitive decline is often a  
9 secondary or post hoc interest and is evaluated with limited resources. The available information  
10 is compromised by important methodological limitations in the assessment of the outcome  
11 (cognitive decline) or exposures (factors) that characterize many of the studies conducted to date.

12

13 **Limitations**

14

15 Limitations in the evaluation of outcome include the lack of clear definition, criteria, and  
16 standardization for cognitive decline (cognitive decline is not a single entity and may have  
17 different etiologies). There are limitations in the evaluation of cognitive decline that  
18 characterize many of the studies conducted to date. Instruments used by different studies vary in  
19 their scope, and it is often difficult (or impossible) to compare results across studies and identify  
20 the reasons for inconsistency in findings. The ascertainment of cognitive decline is often limited  
21 to a single measurement at follow-up. This approach severely limits the ability to determine

1 validly whether cognitive decline really exists, especially because cognitive decline is not linear  
2 and many factors affect cognitive performance and may change in the same individual from time  
3 to time. Many of the studies are limited by the relatively short follow-up time.

4

5 There are also limitations in the evaluation of exposures (factors). The studies to date differ  
6 widely in the quality of the measurements of important exposures (e.g., dietary factors, lifestyle  
7 habits, medications, health history, social factors and engagement). Many of the available  
8 studies have characterized their participants at a single point in time.

9

10 The following discussion summarizes what we know about specific factors.

11

12 *Nutritional and Dietary Factors.* The available evidence does not support a clear role for most of  
13 the nutritional and dietary factors that have been examined. The most consistent evidence is  
14 available for the longer chain omega-3 fatty acids (often measured as fish consumption) that have  
15 been shown to be associated with a reduced risk of cognitive decline in several longitudinal  
16 studies. For the other factors, the evidence varies from those studies with no association  
17 (i.e., vitamin B, vitamin E, vitamin C, folate, beta-carotene) to those with very limited evidence  
18 suggesting a possible protective effect (i.e., a Mediterranean diet).

19

1 *Medical Factors.* Among the medical factors considered, a number of cardiovascular risk factors  
2 have been consistently associated with an increased risk of cognitive decline. Among these, high  
3 blood pressure has been more consistently associated, especially when relatively severe cognitive  
4 decline was examined. Diabetes also has been associated with an increased risk of cognitive  
5 decline, but this association is less consistent and appears to be more modest. Metabolic  
6 syndrome, a cluster of metabolic abnormalities associated with the incidence of cardiovascular  
7 disease, has been consistently associated with a modest risk of cognitive decline. For other  
8 medical factors, there is a lack of good quality studies (e.g., sleep apnea, traumatic brain injury) or  
9 the findings are inconclusive (e.g., obesity).

10

11 *Psychological and Emotional Health.* Depression and depressive symptoms have been  
12 consistently found to be associated with mild cognitive impairment.

13

14 *Medications.* No consistent epidemiological evidence exists for an association with either  
15 statins, antihypertensive medications, or antiinflammatories. There are insufficient data to  
16 comment on cholinesterase inhibitors or memantine. The study results are made more difficult  
17 to interpret because of variation in formulations, dosage, duration, route of administration  
18 (i.e., postmenopausal estrogens) and the drug treatment effect (i.e., antihypertensive  
19 medications).

20

1 *Socioeconomic Factors.* Childhood socioeconomic status or cognitive milieu does not appear to  
2 be a strong influence on cognitive decline later in life. The evidence is inconsistent regarding  
3 the putative association between years of education and cognitive decline.

4

5 *Social and Cognitive Engagement.* The findings are inconsistent regarding living alone or being  
6 without a partner for any reason. However, there appears to be a more robust association  
7 between the loss of a spouse and cognitive decline. There is limited but inconsistent evidence  
8 suggesting that increased involvement in cognitive activities in later life is associated with  
9 slower cognitive decline and lower risk of mild cognitive impairment.

10

11 *Physical Activity and Other Leisure Activities.* Preliminary evidence suggests a beneficial  
12 association of physical activity and a range of leisure activities (e.g., club membership, religious  
13 services, painting, gardening) with the preservation of cognitive function. The effect of the  
14 adoption of new activities has not been investigated.

15

16 *Tobacco and Alcohol Use.* There is evidence for an association between current smoking and  
17 increased risk of cognitive decline. The evidence for past smoking is less consistent. Results are  
18 inconsistent regarding the association between cognitive decline and alcohol use.

19

1 *Genetic Factors.* The majority of studies suggest that the presence of the ApoE e4 allele is  
2 associated with an increased rate of cognitive decline in elderly individuals, especially on some  
3 memory tasks and tasks of perceptual speed. The ApoE DNA variation does not appear to affect  
4 all cognitive domains, and there is variability between studies.

5

6 **3. What are the therapeutic and adverse effects of interventions to delay the onset of**  
7 **Alzheimer’s disease? Are there differences in outcomes among identifiable subgroups?**

8

9 Although numerous interventions have been suggested to delay Alzheimer’s disease, the  
10 evidence is inadequate to conclude that any are effective. This conclusion is based on a review  
11 of published literature of randomized, controlled trials (RCTs), the most rigorous, highest quality  
12 evidence. RCTs are studies in which participants are allocated by chance alone to receive one or  
13 more treatment interventions. Because of the protracted course of Alzheimer’s disease, our  
14 conclusions are based on RCTs that were at least 2 years in duration and adequately powered.  
15 Our conclusions do not reflect the existence of observational studies in which the investigator  
16 does not assign the exposure or treatment of interest to participants. However, information from  
17 these observational studies has formed, and will form, the basis for RCTs.

18

19 **Assessment of Detailed Interventions**

20

21 *Vitamins, Nutrients, and Dietary Supplements.* Results from a recent RCT of vitamin E found no  
22 evidence that this factor altered the onset of the Alzheimer’s disease. Other nutritional factors

1 (e.g., other vitamins, Mediterranean diet) may be beneficial, but there is not sufficient evidence  
2 to support this conclusion. It is possible that patients with vitamin deficiency may demonstrate a  
3 greater response than those without deficiency, but no trials have examined this issue. Gingko  
4 biloba was reported to have some benefit in small, short-term clinical trials. However, a recent,  
5 large long-term RCT comparing ginkgo biloba to placebo showed no reduction in the incidence  
6 of Alzheimer's disease, leading to the conclusion that there is not sufficient evidence to support  
7 the efficacy of ginkgo biloba.

8

9 *Medications.* Cholinesterase inhibitors are the most common treatment for mild to moderate  
10 Alzheimer's disease and have been the focus of several RCTs evaluating prevention of  
11 Alzheimer's disease. Although there is some disagreement in the literature, the entire body of  
12 evidence led us to conclude that this class of drugs is not effective in preventing Alzheimer's  
13 disease. RCTs of antihypertensive medications and hormone replacement (conjugated equine  
14 estrogen) also were negative with insufficient evidence for protection against Alzheimer's  
15 disease. Some available evidence shows that certain interventions have the opposite effect,  
16 increasing the incidence of Alzheimer's disease. Two RCTs of specific nonsteroidal  
17 antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)—rofecoxib, naproxen, and celecoxib—suggest an increased  
18 incidence of Alzheimer's disease with treatment. However, these studies have limitations due to  
19 the high dropout rate and early termination over concerns about toxicity. Two RCTs of  
20 conjugated equine estrogen, one combined with methyl progesterone, suggest an increased  
21 incidence of dementia (including Alzheimer's disease) with treatment. Together, these trials  
22 suggest that no known medication can be said to reliably delay the onset of Alzheimer's disease.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

*Other Factors.* No RCTs were identified that evaluated the effects of cognitive engagement, physical activities, or other leisure activities for delaying the onset of Alzheimer’s disease.

**4. What are the therapeutic and adverse effects of interventions to improve or maintain cognitive ability or function? Are there different outcomes in identifiable subgroups?**

Several interventions have been evaluated with respect to improving cognitive function or preventing cognitive decline. Despite some encouraging associations found in observational studies, randomized controlled trials of specific interventions have failed to *definitively* establish positive therapeutic effects on either maintaining or improving cognitive function or preventing cognitive decline. Although less attention has been given to identifying potential adverse effects, little evidence presented suggests that interventions designed to improve cognitive function either worsen it or produce unwanted side effects. There also are no data to draw any firm conclusions regarding differences in outcomes among identifiable subgroups. Some of the main reasons for the inability to identify successful interventions *may* include (1) lack of a validated and consistent definition of cognitive decline; (2) the small number of RCTs with mild cognitive impairment as a primary outcome; (3) limitations of study design and analysis including short follow-up duration, biases and inconsistencies in study subject recruitment, small effect sizes, and confounding effects of multiple interrelated behaviors.

1 **Assessment of Detailed Interventions**

2

3 *Vitamins, nutrients, and dietary supplements.* Results from several RCTs do not suggest any  
4 effect for vitamin supplementation in preventing cognitive decline. However, these trials used  
5 varying doses of the nutrients, failed to uniformly measure and monitor patients' cognitive  
6 function and baseline nutritional status, and had short and variable follow-up. Often, mild  
7 cognitive impairment was measured as a secondary or tertiary outcome as part of other studies.  
8 For these reasons, these trials may have been underpowered.

9

10 In a single randomized trial complicated by poor compliance, ginkgo biloba co-administered  
11 with vitamin E failed to improve or maintain cognitive function in the elderly. In a single  
12 randomized trial of omega-3 fatty acids with only 26 weeks of follow-up, there appeared to be no  
13 effect on cognitive functioning. Another four trials in progress may revise this evidence, but at  
14 this time no interventional trials convincingly demonstrate that dietary supplements improve or  
15 maintain cognitive functioning.

16

17 *Medications.* With the exception of a single trial of antihypertensive medication in patients with  
18 hypertension, known vascular disease, and a history of stroke, the majority of the evidence  
19 suggests that antihypertensive treatment results in no cognitive benefit, whereas the value of  
20 these medications for hypertension is without question. Similarly, treatment with statins did not  
21 result in cognitive benefit. Low-dose aspirin and celecoxib also were found to be of no benefit  
22 and naproxen was actually found to possibly increase cognitive decline. While there are several  
23 shortcomings of the trials examining the effect of gonadal steroids, including the type of steroid

1 used, the duration of use, the type of menopause (surgical or natural), and the mode of delivery,  
2 randomized trials of estrogen have not been shown to prevent cognitive decline and the use of  
3 conjugated equine estrogen plus methyl progesterone may actually worsen cognitive outcome.  
4 Finally, multiple trials of cholinesterase inhibitors have shown no consistently positive effects on  
5 cognitive decline. Together, these data suggest that no currently available medications can  
6 prevent cognitive decline.

7  
8 *Cognitive Engagement.* A single large randomized trial of cognitive training (consisting of  
9 memory, reasoning, and speed) over a 5- to 6-week period with a subsequent booster period  
10 (Atrial Fibrillation Clopidogrel Trial with Irbesartan for Prevention of Vascular Events, or  
11 ACTIVE trial) has shown modest benefits on cognitive functioning and a small but statistically  
12 significant effect on reducing the extent of age-related decline in cognitive function at a 5-year  
13 follow-up. This trial also showed a very small but statistically significant benefit on  
14 instrumental activities of daily living—for example, managing finances, managing medications,  
15 keeping house, and, in a subgroup analysis, benefit on driving performance in the elderly.  
16 However, these results from a single trial must be replicated to confirm the benefits of cognitive  
17 engagement on preventing cognitive decline over a longer time period and in study subjects with  
18 varying levels of baseline cognitive abilities before a firm recommendation can be made. It also  
19 will be important to assess the sustainability of these behaviors in a large, community-based  
20 sample of subjects where other less rigorous interventions showed no benefit.

21  
22 *Physical activity.* Some evidence from small interventional studies and selected observational  
23 studies suggests that increased physical activity, including walking, may help maintain or

1 improve cognitive function in normal adults. A meta-analysis of several RCTs, many with  
2 methodological limitations, concluded there were insufficient data to state that aerobic activity  
3 improves or maintains cognitive function. However, a higher quality but small, randomized trial  
4 of physical activity in those with confirmed memory problems showed some modest benefit in  
5 reducing cognitive decline over an 18-month follow-up period. Although encouraging, these  
6 data should be viewed as preliminary. Work is ongoing to further investigate the benefits of  
7 physical activity.

8

9 **5. What are the relationships between the factors that affect Alzheimer’s disease and the**  
10 **factors that affect cognitive decline?**

11

12 Imprecise and varied assessments of “age-associated cognitive decline,” “mild cognitive  
13 impairment,” and “Alzheimer’s disease” in the existing literature prevent clear and concise  
14 answers to this question. These three terms refer to heterogeneous groups of conditions, and the  
15 existing literature leaves major gaps in knowledge, which must be addressed through research to  
16 provide adequate responses to this question.

17

18 **What We Know**

19

20 Factors associated with increased risk of Alzheimer’s disease and cognitive decline are diabetes  
21 mellitus, ApoE e4, and current smoking and depression.

22

1 There is some limited evidence that estrogens and NSAIDs convey increased risk of Alzheimer's  
2 disease, but no evidence that these medications increase risk for age-associated cognitive  
3 decline. There is no consistent association of increased risk for Alzheimer's disease and age-  
4 associated cognitive decline conveyed by cholinesterase inhibitors, obesity, hypertension, and  
5 blood homocysteine levels.

6  
7 Factors associated with decreased risk of Alzheimer's disease and cognitive decline were  
8 cognitive engagement (as indicated by literacy and social enrichment), physical/leisure activities  
9 in later life (such as walking), and Mediterranean diet. Light to moderate alcohol intake may be  
10 protective for Alzheimer's disease, but results are inconsistent for cognitive decline.

11  
12 There is no consistent association between Alzheimer's disease or cognitive decline and intake  
13 of ginkgo biloba, beta-carotene, flavonoids, multivitamins, and vitamins B12, C, and E.

14

15 **Limitations**

16

17 A consistent association does not imply that findings were robust: the data were often limited,  
18 and the quality of evidence was typically low. In addition, the risk modification effect of  
19 reported associations was typically small to moderate for Alzheimer's disease and small for  
20 cognitive decline.

21

1 **6. If recommendations for interventions cannot be made currently, what studies need to**  
2 **be done to provide the quality and strength of evidence necessary to make such**  
3 **recommendations to individuals?**

4  
5 This review of the state of the science highlights the presence of critical gaps in current  
6 knowledge about the epidemiology of Alzheimer’s disease and cognitive impairment. To date,  
7 numerous studies have attempted to describe the etiology and factors associated with risk of  
8 development and progression of cognitive decline and of Alzheimer’s disease and have  
9 generated an abundance of theories on modifiable risk factors and therapies. However, these  
10 studies have failed to provide convincing evidence on the strength of these associations, and  
11 these results cannot be used as the basis to generate specific recommendations for preventive  
12 measures or interventions. This report underscores the need and rationale for conducting  
13 rigorous, state-of-the-art, methodologically sound research to address these deficiencies. We  
14 strongly recommend the following:

- 15  
16 • Rigorous consensus-based diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer’s disease should be  
17 developed and uniformly used across research studies. Research is critically required  
18 for identification of biomarkers associated with Alzheimer’s disease and for further  
19 development of brain imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)  
20 and positron emission tomography (PET) scanning to pinpoint pathological changes  
21 specific to Alzheimer’s disease that could be assessed *in vivo* and serve as objective  
22 diagnostic criteria. Alzheimer’s disease is known to have a long latent period with  
23 hallmark pathological changes seen in the brain tissue of young adults. Further

1 research is required to understand and delineate the natural progression of  
2 Alzheimer's disease, to relate progression to pathological signs and clinical  
3 symptoms, and to determine (for example) whether depression and cognitive  
4 impairment are risk factors for the development of Alzheimer's disease or reflect  
5 early stages of the disease.

- 6  
7 • An objective and consensus-based definition of mild cognitive impairment needs to  
8 be developed, including identification of the cognitive areas of impairment, the  
9 recommended cognitive measures for assessment, and the degree of deviation from  
10 normal to meet diagnostic criteria. This consistency in definition and measurement is  
11 important to generate studies that can be pooled or compared to better assess risk  
12 factors and preventive strategies for cognitive decline and Alzheimer's disease.
- 13  
14 • A standardized, well-validated, and culturally sensitive battery of outcome measures  
15 needs to be developed and used across research studies to assess relevant domains of  
16 cognitive functioning in a manner that is appropriate for the functional level of the  
17 population sample being studied (e.g., cognitively normal, mild cognitive  
18 impairment); and age-gender specific norms need to be established for comparison  
19 and objective assessment of disease severity. We recommend a comprehensive  
20 approach to outcomes assessment that accounts for the impact of cognitive decline on  
21 other multiple domains of function and quality of life that may be affected by deficits  
22 in cognition (for example, emotional and physical functioning) of both the affected  
23 person and his or her primary caregiver.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

- The caregiver is a valuable source of information about the daily function of the elderly person with mild cognitive impairment or early Alzheimer’s disease, and observational studies and RCTs should collect data from caregivers in a systematic manner.
  
- Following the model of other chronic disease epidemiology, large-scale, long-term population-based studies using precise, well-validated exposure and outcome measures are required to generate strong evidence on biological, behavioral/lifestyle, dietary, socioeconomic, and clinical factors that may have protective or adverse effects on risk of cognitive decline or Alzheimer’s disease. Individuals in these studies should be followed from middle age into old age, with repeated measurements to take into account the duration and timing of exposures as effects of various risk factors may be more acute and interventions more effective during critical windows of time throughout life. Furthermore, data from early life, either retrospective or prospective, are necessary to assess the importance of these influences on later cognitive outcomes.
  
- Existing cohorts from ongoing, large-scale, population-based studies—including longitudinal cohort studies of cardiovascular and noncardiovascular risk factors and outcomes, with rigorous, standardized measures of a wide range of exposures and longitudinal socioeconomic surveys that contain detailed health measures—should be explored for opportunities for timely, cost-effective identification of individuals at

1 high risk of cognitive decline or Alzheimer’s disease, provided that these outcomes  
2 are validly measured. Any associations found could be further tested by RCTs or  
3 new cohort studies as appropriate.

4

5 • Studies should include women and men from socioeconomically and ethnically  
6 diverse populations to examine the incidence and prevalence of Alzheimer’s disease  
7 and cognitive decline in these groups.

8

9 • Research is necessary to identify specific population subgroups that may be at higher  
10 risk of developing cognitive impairment or Alzheimer’s disease, based on  
11 nonmodifiable factors such as age, ethnicity, or DNA variation (e.g., ApoE). Long-  
12 term studies on high-risk populations (particularly treatment-seeking individuals with  
13 symptoms of mild cognitive impairment) should be conducted to delineate risk  
14 factors for and natural progression to Alzheimer’s disease and to identify the long-  
15 term outcomes and factors associated with improvement, decline, and stabilization of  
16 cognitive function.

17

18 • Alternative research resources and platforms that facilitate longitudinal long-term  
19 assessments of the risk of cognitive decline and the risk of progression from cognitive  
20 decline to Alzheimer’s disease need to be leveraged. For example, a large,  
21 multicenter Alzheimer’s disease registry, following the models of cancer, would  
22 greatly expand opportunities for research and surveillance. In addition, observational  
23 studies within large health care delivery systems with defined populations and well-

1 developed electronic health records could serve as a cost-effective research platform  
2 for studies of cognitive decline and Alzheimer's disease.

- 3  
4 • A simple, inexpensive, quantitative instrument to assess mild cognitive impairment,  
5 which can be administered in a repeated manner by trained (nonexpert) staff in both  
6 the primary care office and the research/specialty clinic, needs to be established. This  
7 instrument should be sensitive to changes over time across a wide range of cognitive  
8 abilities and social, cultural, and linguistic backgrounds. The development and  
9 widespread implementation of this instrument is essential to enable better research.  
10
- 11 • A Web site should be established to inform the American public in an ongoing way  
12 about which preventive interventions for Alzheimer's disease and cognitive decline  
13 have proven efficacy.

## 14 15 **Conclusions**

- 16 • Cognitive decline and Alzheimer's disease are major sources of morbidity and  
17 mortality worldwide. They pose a significant burden not only on affected  
18 individuals, but also on their caregivers and society in general.  
19
- 20  
21 • Firm conclusions cannot be drawn about the association of modifiable risk factors  
22 with cognitive decline or Alzheimer's disease.

- 1           • There is an absence of highly reliable consensus-based diagnostic criteria for both  
2           cognitive decline and Alzheimer’s disease, and the available criteria have not been  
3           uniformly applied.
- 4
- 5           • There is insufficient evidence to support the use of pharmaceutical agents or dietary  
6           supplements to prevent cognitive decline or Alzheimer’s disease. However, ongoing  
7           additional studies including (but not limited to) antihypertensive medications, omega-  
8           3 fatty acid, physical activity, and cognitive engagement may provide new insight  
9           into the prevention or delay of cognitive decline or Alzheimer’s disease.
- 10
- 11          • Large-scale population-based studies and RCTs are critically needed to investigate  
12          *strategies to maintain cognitive function in individuals at risk for decline*, to identify  
13          *factors that may delay the onset of Alzheimer’s disease* among individuals at risk, and  
14          to identify *factors that may slow the progression of Alzheimer’s disease* among  
15          individuals already diagnosed with the disease.
- 16

## **State-of-the-Science Panel**

**Panel Chairperson:** **Martha L. Daviglus, M.D., Ph.D., M.P.H.**  
Panel and Conference Chairperson  
Professor of Preventive Medicine and Medicine  
Department of Preventive Medicine  
Feinberg School of Medicine  
Northwestern University  
Chicago, Illinois

**Carl C. Bell, M.D.**  
Director  
Institute for Juvenile Research  
Professor  
Department of Psychiatry and School of  
Public Health  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
Community Mental Health Council, Inc.  
Chicago, Illinois

**Wade Berrettini, M.D., Ph.D.**  
Karl E. Rickels Professor of Psychiatry  
Department of Psychiatry  
Director  
Center for Neurobiology and Behavior  
The University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

**Phyllis E. Bowen, Ph.D.**  
Professor Emerita of Human Nutrition  
Department of Kinesiology and Nutrition  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
Chicago, Illinois

**E. Sander Connolly, Jr., M.D.**  
Bennett M. Stein Professor of Neurological  
Surgery  
Vice Chairperson of Neurosurgery  
Columbia University Medical Center  
New York-Presbyterian Hospital  
New York, New York

**Nancy Jean Cox, Ph.D.**  
Professor  
Genetic Medicine  
University of Chicago  
Chicago, Illinois

**Jacqueline M. Dunbar-Jacob, Ph.D., R.N.,  
FAAN**  
Dean and Professor  
School of Nursing  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

**Evelyn C. Granieri, M.D., M.P.H.,  
M.S.Ed.**  
Chief  
Division of Geriatric Medicine and Aging  
College of Physicians and Surgeons  
Columbia University  
New York-Presbyterian Hospital  
New York, New York

**Gail Hunt**  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
National Alliance for Caregiving  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Kathleen McGarry, Ph.D.**  
Professor of Economics  
Department of Economics  
University of California, Los Angeles  
Los Angeles, California

**Dinesh Patel, M.D.**  
Senior Geriatrician  
Charles E. Smith Life Communities  
Assistant Clinical Professor of Medicine  
George Washington University  
School of Medicine  
Rockville, Maryland

**Arnold L. Potosky, Ph.D.**  
Professor of Oncology  
Director of Health Services Research  
Georgetown University Medical Center  
Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center  
Cancer Control Program  
Washington, DC

**Elaine Sanders-Bush, Ph.D.**  
Professor of Pharmacology and Psychiatry  
Vanderbilt University Medical Center  
Nashville, Tennessee

### **Speakers**

**Paul Aisen, M.D.**  
Professor  
Department of Neurosciences  
University of California San Diego  
School of Medicine  
La Jolla, California

**Marilyn Albert, Ph.D.**  
Professor of Neurology  
Division of Cognitive Neuroscience  
Johns Hopkins University  
School of Medicine  
Baltimore, Maryland

**David A. Bennett, M.D.**  
Robert C. Borwell Professor of  
Neurological Sciences  
Director  
Department of Neurological Sciences  
Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center  
Rush Medical Center  
Chicago, Illinois

**Donald Silberberg, M.D.**  
Professor  
Department of Neurology  
The University of Pennsylvania Medical  
Center  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

**Maurizio Trevisan, M.D., M.S.**  
Executive Vice Chancellor and  
Chief Executive Officer  
Health Sciences System  
Nevada System of Higher Education  
Professor of Medicine  
University of Nevada School of Medicine  
Las Vegas, Nevada

**James Burke, M.D., Ph.D.**  
Associate Professor of Medicine-Neurology  
Director  
Duke Memory Disorders Clinic  
Duke University  
Durham, North Carolina

**Carl Cotman, Ph.D.**  
Professor  
Institute for Brain Aging and Dementia  
University of California Irvine  
Irvine, California

**Charles DeCarli, M.D.**  
Professor of Neurology  
Department of Neurology  
Center for Neuroscience  
Director  
Alzheimer's Disease Center  
Imaging of Dementia and Aging (IDeA)  
Laboratory  
University of California at Davis  
Sacramento, California

**Laura Fratiglioni, M.D., Ph.D.**  
Professor of Geriatric Epidemiology  
Director  
Aging Research Center  
Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences  
and Society  
Karolinska Institute  
Stockholm, Sweden

**Mary Ganguli, M.D., M.P.H.**  
Department of Psychiatry  
Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic  
University of Pittsburgh Medical Center  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

**Hugh Hendrie, D.Sc., M.B., Ch.B.**  
Professor  
Department of Psychiatry  
Indiana University School of Medicine  
Scientist  
Indiana University Center for Aging  
Research  
Research Scientist  
Regenstrief Institute, Inc.  
Indianapolis, Indiana

**Tracey Holsinger, M.D.**  
Assistant Professor  
Department of Geriatric Psychiatry  
Duke University  
Durham, North Carolina

**Arthur Kramer, Ph.D.**  
Professor of Psychology and Neuroscience  
Beckman Institute  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois

**Constantine Lyketsos, M.D., M.H.S.**  
The Elizabeth Plank Althouse Professor  
Johns Hopkins University  
Chairperson of Psychiatry  
Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center  
Baltimore, Maryland

**Jennifer Manly, Ph.D.**  
Associate Professor  
Department of Neurology  
Sergievsky Center  
Columbia University College of Physicians  
and Surgeons  
New York, New York

**Martha Clare Morris, Sc.D.**  
Director  
Sections of Nutrition and Nutritional  
Epidemiology  
Department of Internal Medicine  
Rush University Medical Center  
Chicago, Illinois

**Dan Mungas, Ph.D.**  
Adjunct Professor  
Department of Neurology  
University of California Davis  
School of Medicine  
Sacramento, California

**Ronald C. Petersen, Ph.D., M.D.**  
Cora Kanow Professor of Alzheimer's  
Disease Research  
Director  
Alzheimer's Disease Research Center  
Mayo Clinic College of Medicine  
Rochester, Minnesota

**Joseph Quinn, M.D.**

Associate Professor  
Department of Neurology  
Oregon Health and Science University  
Portland Veterans Affairs Medical Center  
Portland, Oregon

**Yaakov Stern, Ph.D.**

Professor  
Departments of Neurology, Psychiatry and  
Psychology  
Sergievsky Center and Taub Institute  
Columbia University College of Physicians  
and Surgeons  
New York, New York

**Frederick W. Unverzagt, Ph.D.**

Professor of Psychiatry  
Department of Psychiatry  
Indiana University School of Medicine  
Indianapolis, Indiana

**John W. Williams, Jr., M.D.**

Professor  
Department of General Internal Medicine  
Duke University  
Durham, North Carolina

## **Planning Committee:**

**Planning Chairperson: Neil Buckholtz, Ph.D.**  
Chief  
Dementias of Aging Branch  
Neuroscience and Neuropsychology  
of Aging Program  
National Institute on Aging  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Lisa Ahramjian, M.S.**  
Communications Specialist  
Office of Medical Applications of Research  
Office of the Director  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Shilpa Amin, M.D., M.Bsc., FAAFP**  
Medical Officer  
Evidence-Based Practice Centers Program  
Center for Outcomes and Evidence  
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality  
John M. Eisenberg Building  
Rockville, Maryland

**Lynda A. Anderson, Ph.D.**  
Director  
Healthy Aging Program  
Division of Adult and Community Health  
National Center for Chronic Disease  
Prevention and Health Promotion  
Coordinating Center for Health Promotion  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
Atlanta, Georgia

**Nancy C. Andreasen, M.D., Ph.D.**  
Conference and Panel Chairperson\*  
Director  
Mental Health Clinical Research Center  
Director  
Psychiatric Iowa Neuroimaging Consortium  
Andrew H. Woods Chair and Professor  
of Psychiatry  
University of Iowa  
Iowa City, Iowa

**Sanjay Asthana, M.D., FRCP-C**  
Duncan G. and Lottie H. Ballantine  
Chair in Geriatrics  
Professor and Head, Section of Geriatrics  
and Gerontology  
University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Medical School  
Director, Geriatric Research, Education and  
Clinical Center (GRECC)  
William S. Middleton Memorial Veterans  
Hospital  
Associate Director  
Wisconsin Alzheimer's Institute  
Madison, Wisconsin

Planning Committee members provided their input at a meeting held August 19–21, 2008.  
The information provided here was accurate at the time of that meeting.

\*Dr. Nancy Andreasen stepped down as panel chair on January 20, 2010, due to a relationship that was unforeseen to be a possible conflict of interest; we thank her for her invaluable service in this process.

**Stephanie Chang, M.D., M.P.H.**  
Medical Officer  
Evidence-Based Practice Centers Program  
Center for Outcomes and Evidence  
Agency for Healthcare Research and  
Quality  
John M. Eisenberg Building  
Rockville, Maryland

**Charles DeCarli, M.D.**  
Professor  
Department of Neurology  
University of California, Davis  
Sacramento, California

**Emmeline M. Edwards, Ph.D.**  
Deputy Director  
Division of Extramural Research  
National Institute of Neurological Disorders  
and Stroke  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Jovier D. Evans, Ph.D.**  
Chief  
Geriatric Translational Neuroscience  
and Geriatric Pharmacologic  
Intervention Research Programs  
Geriatrics Research Branch  
National Institute of Mental Health  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Steven Fox, M.D., M.P.H., S.M.**  
Center for Outcomes and Evidence  
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality  
John M. Eisenberg Building  
Rockville, Maryland

**Hugh C. Hendrie, M.B., Ch.B., D.Sc.**  
Professor  
Department of Psychiatry  
Indiana University School of Medicine  
Center  
Scientist  
Indiana University Center for Aging  
Research  
Research Scientist  
Regenstrief Institute, Inc.  
Indianapolis, Indiana

**Jonathan W. King, Ph.D.**  
Division of Behavioral and Social Research  
National Institute on Aging  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Kathy Mann Koepke, Ph.D.**  
Program Director, Neuroscience  
NINR Centers and Program Projects  
Coordinator  
Division of Extramural Activities  
National Institute of Nursing Research  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Barnett S. Kramer, M.D., M.P.H.**  
Associate Director for Disease Prevention  
Director  
Office of Medical Applications of Research  
Office of the Director  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Kelli K. Marciel, M.A.**  
Communications Director  
Office of Medical Applications of Research  
Office of the Director  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

Planning Committee members provided their input at a meeting held August 19–21, 2008.  
The information provided here was accurate at the time of that meeting.

**Arthur A. Meltzer, Ph.D.**  
Office of Clinical Standards and Quality  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Baltimore, Maryland

**Martha Clare Morris, Sc.D.**  
Associate Professor  
Internal Medicine  
Director, Section of Nutrition and  
Nutritional Epidemiology  
Assistant Provost for Community Research  
Rush University Medical Center  
Chicago, Illinois

**Marcelle Morrison-Bogorad, Ph.D.**  
Director  
Neuroscience and Neuropsychology of  
Aging Program  
National Institute on Aging  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Richard Nahin, Ph.D., M.P.H.**  
National Center for Complementary and  
Alternative Medicine  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Lata S. Nerurkar, Ph.D.**  
Senior Advisor for the Consensus  
Development Program  
Office of Medical Applications of Research  
Office of the Director  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Susan C. Rossi, Ph.D., M.P.H.**  
Deputy Director  
Office of Medical Applications of Research  
Office of the Director  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Yaakov Stern, Ph.D.**  
Professor  
Columbia University  
New York, New York

**Christine A. Swanson, Ph.D.**  
Office of Dietary Supplements  
Office of the Director  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

**Frederick W. Unverzagt, Ph.D.**  
Professor of Psychiatry  
Director  
Neuropsychology Clinic in Psychiatry  
Training Director  
Clinical Neuropsychology Residency  
Indiana University School of Medicine  
Indianapolis, Indiana

**Molly V. Wagster, Ph.D.**  
Chief  
Behavioral and Systems Neuroscience  
Branch  
Division of Neuroscience  
National Institute on Aging  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland

Planning Committee members provided their input at a meeting held August 19–21, 2008.  
The information provided here was accurate at the time of that meeting.

### **Conference Sponsors**

#### **National Institute on Aging**

Richard Hodes, M.D.

Director

#### **Office of Medical Applications of Research**

Jennifer M. Crowell, M.D., M.P.H.

Acting Director

### **Conference Cosponsors**

#### ***Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development**

Alan Guttmacher, M.D.

Acting Director

#### **National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke**

Story C. Landis, Ph.D.

Director

#### **National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine**

Josephine P. Briggs, Ph.D.

Director

#### **National Institute of Nursing Research**

Patricia Grady, Ph.D., R.N., FAAN

Director

#### **National Institute of Mental Health**

Thomas Insel, M.D.

Director

#### **Office of Dietary Supplements**

Paul Coates, Ph.D.

Director

### **Conference Partners**

#### **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

Janet Collins, Ph.D.

Director

#### **Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services**

Barry M. Straube, M.D.

Chief Medical Officer

Director

Office of Clinical Standards and Quality