

## PEDIATRIC ANTIRETROVIRAL DRUG INFORMATION

Members of the Working Group on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of HIV-Infected Children have developed this Antiretroviral Drug Information Hyperlink document. As new information becomes available, the hyperlink will be up-dated. This document contains detailed information about the different classes of antiretroviral agents. Promising investigational agents currently under study in adults and/or children will be included. This document should be used in conjunction with the Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection (<http://www.hivatis.org>). Dosing information can be found in the [Appendix to the Guidelines](#). Additionally, antiretroviral drug information updates, labeling changes and safety warnings may be accessed by subscribing to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration HIV/AIDS E-mail list at: <http://www.fda.gov/oashi/aids/email.html>.

In the past fifteen years, therapeutic strategies to treat pediatric patients with HIV infection have expanded dramatically from treatment with a single medication to combination therapy that includes up to three different classes of antiretroviral agents. As of February 2001, there were fifteen antiretroviral agents approved for use in HIV-infected adults and adolescents in the United States; eleven of these have an approved pediatric treatment indication. The agents available fall into three major classes, nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors NRTIs (zidovudine\*, didanosine\*, stavudine\*, lamivudine\*, abacavir\*, and zalcitabine), nonnucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors NNRTIs (nevirapine\*, efavirenz\*, and delavirdine), and protease inhibitors PIs (ritonavir\*, nelfinavir\*, amprenavir\*, lopinavir/ritonavir\*, saquinavir hard and soft gel capsules, and indinavir).

In order to successfully suppress HIV viral replication without disruption of normal cellular function, it is essential to target specific components unique to the virus. Theoretically, antiretroviral agents that target the initial stages of the viral replicative cycle (prior to provirus formation), should prevent primary infection of cells, yet be ineffective in cells that have already integrated virus and drugs that inhibit steps after viral integration should block new virus production by virally infected cells. Currently Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved antiretroviral medications include reverse transcriptase inhibitors (both nucleoside and non-nucleoside), which act at the early stage of replication, and inhibitors of viral protease, which work in the later stage after viral integration. The NRTIs are potent inhibitors of the HIV reverse transcriptase enzyme, which is responsible for the reverse transcription of viral RNA into DNA; this process occurs prior to integration of viral DNA into the chromosomes of the host cell. The NRTIs require intracellular phosphorylation to their active forms by cellular kinases. The phosphorylated drug acts to competitively inhibit viral reverse transcriptase and to terminate further elongation of viral DNA following incorporation of the drug into the growing DNA chain. Since these drugs act at a pre-integration step in the viral life cycle, they have little to no effect on chronically infected cells in which proviral DNA has already been integrated into cellular chromosomes. NNRTIs specifically inhibit reverse transcriptase activity by binding directly to the active site of the enzyme without requiring prior activation. Protease inhibitors inhibit the HIV protease enzyme that is required to cleave viral polyprotein precursors and generate functional viral proteins. The protease enzyme is crucial for the assembly stage of viral replication, which occurs after transcription of proviral DNA to viral RNA, and subsequent translation into viral proteins. Because protease inhibitors act at a post-integration step of the viral life cycle, they are effective in inhibiting replication in both newly infected and chronically infected cells (1).

-----  
\*denotes pediatric treatment indication

## Nucleoside Analogue Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

The NRTIs were the first class of antiretroviral drugs available for the treatment of HIV infection. Their antiviral activity depends upon intracellular serial phosphorylation to the triphosphate active drug by host cellular kinases (2). Although resistance eventually develops to these agents during the course of long-term single drug therapy, combination therapy with these drugs may prevent, delay or reverse the development of resistance (3). One notable exception to this is lamivudine (3TC) where a single point mutation can confer resistance to this agent in as little as 4 to 8 weeks when given as monotherapy or in combination with an antiretroviral regimen that does not fully suppress viral replication (e.g. dual NRTI therapy with ZDV/3TC). NRTIs may increase the risk of mitochondrial dysfunction due to inhibition of mitochondrial DNA polymerase gamma (4). Unusual but significant serious toxicities that can occur in patients exposed to these agents include lactic acidosis, hepatic steatosis, pancreatitis, myopathy, cardiomyopathy and peripheral neuropathy. Additionally, rapidly ascending muscular weakness has recently been reported as a new symptom of nucleoside related lactic acidosis and hyperlactataemia (BMS letter to doctors. 28 September 2001). Interestingly, although some toxicities may be seen with all NRTI drugs (e.g. lactic acidosis), other toxicities (such as peripheral neuropathy) may predominately occur with specific NRTIs, suggesting diverse mitochondrial effects of the drugs that may be dependent on varying ability to penetrate particular cell types. The relative potency of the nucleosides in inhibiting mitochondrial gamma DNA polymerase *in vitro* is highest for zalcitabine (ddC), followed by didanosine (ddI), stavudine (d4T), lamivudine (3TC), zidovudine (ZDV) and abacavir (ABC) (5). The prevalence of these side effects in children is unknown.

### References:

1. Deeks, SG, Smith M, Holodniy M et al. HIV-1 Protease Inhibitors- A Review for Clinicians. Journal of the American Medical Association 1997; 277(2): 145-153.
2. Furman PA, Fyfe JA, St. Clair M et al. Phosphorylation of 3'-azido-3'-deoxythymidine and selective interaction of the 5'-triphosphate with human immunodeficiency virus reverse transcriptase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. USA 1986; 83:8333-8337.
3. Torres R, Barr M. Combination antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection. Infections in Medicine 1997; 14(2): 142-160.
4. Brinkman K, Smeitink JA, Romijn JA et al. Mitochondrial toxicity induced by nucleoside-analogue reverse- transcriptase inhibitors is a key factor in the pathogenesis of antiretroviral-therapy-related lipodystrophy. Lancet 1999; 354(9184): 1112-1115.
5. Martin JL, Brown CE, Matthews-Davis N et al. Effects of antiviral nucleoside analogs on human DNA polymerases and mitochondrial DNA synthesis. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 1994; 38(12): 2743-2749.

### Abacavir (ABC, Ziagen ®)

URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2000/20978s2lbl.pdf>

URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-ABC](#)

### Overview

In December of 1998, abacavir (ABC) was approved by the FDA for combination therapy in adults and children age 3 months or older, based on controlled trials in adults and children.

Abacavir (ABC) is a guanosine analogue nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor. ABC is anabolized intracellularly to its active triphosphate form utilizing enzymes that do not phosphorylate other NRTIs (1). ABC demonstrates *in vitro* synergy with 3TC, ZDV, nevirapine and amprenavir and additive activity

in combination with ddI, 3TC, d4T and ddC. It crosses the blood-brain barrier, with CSF-to-plasma concentration ratios of 18%-25%. Bioavailability is 83% and serum half-life is 1.5 hours. In humans, cytochrome P450 enzymes do not significantly metabolize abacavir and it in turn does not inhibit human CYP3A4, CYP2D6 or CYP2C activity at clinically relevant concentrations. The primary routes of elimination are metabolism by alcohol dehydrogenase and glucuronyl transferase.

#### *Resistance*

Prior treatment with multiple NRTIs and the development of mutations associated with resistance to multiple NRTIs are associated with a blunted HIV RNA response to ABC combination therapy (2, 3). Resistance mutations have been seen at RT codons 65, 74, 115, and 184 both *in vitro* and in patients taking ABC. At least 2 to 3 of the mutations are required to reduce susceptibility by 10- fold. Mutations at codons 184 and 74 were most frequently observed in clinical isolates. ABC-resistant virus will be resistant to 3TC. While virus resistant to AZT or 3TC alone may remain susceptible to ABC, virus resistant to both ZDV and 3TC is more likely to be cross-resistant with ABC.

#### *Adverse Effects*

A potentially fatal hypersensitivity reaction occurs in approximately 5% of adults and children receiving ABC ([see: Adult Guidelines Document: Table 16-Black Box warnings](#)) \*. Symptoms include flu-like symptoms, respiratory symptoms, fever, rash, fatigue, malaise, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal pain. Patients developing these symptoms should have ABC stopped and not restarted, since hypotension and death have occurred with rechallenge. In a randomized study comparing ABC/ZDV/3TC to ZDV/3TC alone, 4 of 146 children receiving ABC and 2 of 44 children in the ZDV/3TC group who switched to open-label ABC therapy developed a hypersensitivity reaction, which resolved upon discontinuation of therapy (4). Onset of the hypersensitivity reaction occurred between 1 to 2 weeks after ABC was started. Nausea and vomiting alone may occur in as many as one-third of children receiving ABC in combination with other antiretroviral agents.

When using ABC, parents and patients must be cautioned about the risk of a serious hypersensitivity reaction; a medication guide and warning card should be provided to parents. Patients should also be advised to consult their physician immediately if signs or symptoms consistent with a hypersensitivity reaction occur. Children experiencing a hypersensitivity reaction should be reported to the Abacavir Hypersensitivity Registry (1-800-270-0425). While ABC may be included as a component of a treatment regimen for children who have failed prior antiretroviral therapy, it should be recognized that it is less likely to be active in children with extensive prior treatment with NRTIs. Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including ABC.

**\* *The updated version of the adult-adolescents guidelines, containing the new Table 16, Adverse Drug Reactions Related "Black Box Warnings" in Product Labeling for Antiretrovirals Agents; is scheduled for release in December 2001.***

### *Pediatric Experience*

In adults, ABC has been studied in dual and triple combinations with a protease inhibitor (PI). Dual combination therapy with various PIs reduced the viral load to <400 copies/mL in 54-85% of treatment-naïve adults (5). ABC has also been studied in combination with other NRTIs without a PI. In an ongoing study of treatment-naïve adults, combination therapy with ABC/ZDV/3TC resulted in a viral load of <400 copies/mL in 75% of subjects at 16 weeks of treatment and this result was sustained through 48 weeks of therapy (6, 7). In a study of 205 treatment-experienced children ranging in age from 0.7 – 13 years, the combination of ABC/ZDV/3TC resulted in a greater fall in viral load and increase in CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count than did ZDV/3TC. However, only 10% of 102 children receiving ABC/ZDV/3TC had HIV RNA levels <400 copies/mL at 48 weeks of therapy (4). It is therefore unclear what role triple NRTI combinations may have in the pediatric population.

### References:

1. Hervey PS, Perry CM. Abacavir: a review of its clinical potential in patients with HIV infection. *Drugs* 2000; 60(2): 447-479.
2. Danehower S, Mitchell C, Gilbert C et al. Correlation of phenotypic resistance and clinical efficacy of abacavir in a phase III pediatric Study. In XII World AIDS Conference, June 28-July 3, 1998. Geneva, Switzerland; Abstract #232/32283.
3. Kline MW, Blanchard S, Fletcher CV et al. A phase I study of abacavir (1592U89) alone and in combination with other antiretroviral agents in infants and children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. AIDS Clinical Trials Group 330 Team. *Pediatrics* 1999; 103(4): e47.
4. Saez-Llorens X, Nelson RP, Emmanuel P et al. A randomized, double-blind study of triple nucleoside therapy of abacavir, lamivudine, and zidovudine versus lamivudine and zidovudine in previously treated human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. *Pediatrics* 2001; 107(1): E4.
5. Mellors JW, Lederman M, Haas D et al. Durable Activity of Ziagen (Abacavir, ABC) Combined with Protease Inhibitors (PI) in Therapy Naïve Adults. In 6th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, January 31-February 4, 1999. Chicago, IL; Abstract 625.
6. Fischl M, Greenberg S, Clumeck N et al. Safety and activity of abacavir (ABC, 1592) with 3TC/ZDV in antiretroviral naïve subjects. In XII World AIDS Conference, June 28-July 3, 1998. Geneva, Switzerland; Abstract 127/12230.
7. Fischl M, Greenberg S, Clumeck N et al. Ziagen combined with 3TC and ZDV is highly effective and durable through 48 weeks in HIV-1 infected antiretroviral-therapy-naïve subjects. In 6th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. January 31-February 4, 1999. Chicago, IL; Abstract 19.

### **Didanosine (ddI, Videx®)**

URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/videxlbl.pdf>

URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/videxec.pdf>

URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-ddI](#)

### *Overview*

Didanosine (ddI) received FDA approval in 1991 for adults and pediatric patients older than 6 months of age with advanced HIV infection who were intolerant to or deteriorating on ZDV. Since that time the indications have been broadened and dosage recommendations reduced. In October 2000 a new delayed-release formulation of enteric-coated beadlets was approved for use in adults allowing for once-daily ddI administration in selected patients.

ddI is a purine dideoxynucleoside analogue that requires intracellular phosphorylation in resting cells to become active. Despite lower CSF penetration than ZDV (CSF/plasma ratio = 0.05), there was a 46% (range 12-85%) improvement in neuropsychometric testing scores observed in some children that were

correlated with ddI plasma concentration (1, 2). ddI is unstable at acidic pH and is rapidly degraded unless given as an enteric formulation (EC) or combined with buffering agents or antacids. Bioavailability ranges from 20% to 40% depending upon the formulation used. ddI's plasma half-life is 0.5 to 1 hour in contrast to its intracellular half-life of 25 to 40 hours. The long intracellular half-life allows for the extended dosing interval. Recent data from PACTG 144 has suggested that systemic exposure to ddI (i.e. AUC) in children remains similar in the both the presence and absence of food (3). This may allow for the relaxation of fasting state requirement in certain instances.

#### *Resistance*

Genotypic mutations at codons 65, 74 and 184 have been associated with ddI resistance. The most common mutation, L74V is most frequently associated with diminished antiviral activity of ddI. Interestingly, isolates with this resistance mutation have increased susceptibility to ZDV (4). 3TC-resistant virus may have reduced susceptibility to ddI but cross-resistance is not complete.

#### *Adverse Effects*

Fatal and nonfatal pancreatitis has occurred during therapy with this agent used alone or in combination regimens in both treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced patients, regardless of degree of immunosuppression (see: [Adult Guidelines Document: Table 16-Black Box warnings](#))\*. Didanosine should be suspended in patients with suspected pancreatitis and discontinued in patients with confirmed pancreatitis. Pancreatitis appears to be more common in adult patients and may be dose-related. It has occurred more commonly in patients with predisposing factors including a prior history of pancreatitis, baseline elevation of serum transaminases, and concurrent administration of other drugs known to cause pancreatitis, such as pentamidine and d4T (5). Hydroxyurea appears to increase the risk of pancreatitis when co-administered with ddI. Didanosine may cause peripheral sensory neuropathy. Asymptomatic peripheral retinal depigmentation has been observed in <5% of children receiving ddI, is not associated with loss of vision, and appears to reverse with discontinuation of therapy (6). Diarrhea has been reported, and may be more related to the antacid/buffer with which the drug is formulated than to ddI itself. Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including didanosine.

#### *Pediatric Experience*

Results of long-term follow-up of infected children receiving ddI for a median duration of almost two years show that ddI appears safe, and is associated with clinical improvement, increase in CD4<sup>+</sup> count and decrease in p24 antigenemia, persisting in some cases for several years (7). In PACTG 152, ddI (administered either as a single agent or in combination with ZDV) was shown to be superior to ZDV monotherapy as initial therapy for symptomatic children over 3 months of age as measured by length of time to death or to progression of HIV disease (8). PACTG 300 found that in symptomatic children, combination therapy with either ddI and ZDV or 3TC and ZDV was more effective than ddI monotherapy (9). PACTG 327, a randomized trial to evaluate the safety, tolerance and antiviral activity of ddI and d4T in combination or d4T alone, found the combination to be superior to d4T monotherapy in 108 antiretroviral experienced children who had been previously enrolled in PACTG 240 (d4T monotherapy versus ZDV monotherapy) or who had received ZDV monotherapy for at least 6 months (10). Importantly, no children were discontinued from study due to toxicity and there were no cases of pancreatitis or peripheral neuropathy identified when this combination of agents was used. ddI has also been studied as part of a treatment regimen including ZDV and ritonavir in highly retroviral-experienced pediatric patients and as part of a combination regimen with d4T and nelfinavir (11, 12).

\* ***The updated version of the adult-adolescents guidelines, containing the new Table 16, Adverse Drug Reactions Related "Black Box Warnings" in Product Labeling for Antiretrovirals Agents; is scheduled for release in December 2001.***

References:

1. Balis FM, Pizzo PA, Butler KM et al. Clinical pharmacology of 2', 3'-dideoxyinosine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 1992; 165(1): 99-104.
2. Butler KM, Husson RN, Balis FM et al. Dideoxyinosine in children with symptomatic human immunodeficiency virus infection. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1991; 324 (137-144).
3. Stevens RC, Rodman JH, Yong FH et al. Effect of food and pharmacokinetic variability on didanosine systemic exposure in HIV-infected children. *Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 144 Study Team. AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses* 2000; 16(5): 415-421.
4. St. Clair MH, Martin JL, Tudor-Williams G et al. Resistance to ddI and sensitivity to AZT induced by a mutation in HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. *Science* 1991; 253:1557-1559.
5. Butler KM, Venzon D, Henry N et al. Pancreatitis in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children receiving dideoxyinosine. *Pediatrics* 1993; 91(4): 747-751.
6. Whitcup SM, Butler KM, Caruso R et al. Retinal toxicity in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children treated with 2', 3'-dideoxyinosine. *American Journal of Ophthalmology* 1992; 113(1): 1-7.
7. Mueller BU, Butler KM, Stocker VL et al. Clinical and pharmacokinetic evaluation of long-term therapy with didanosine in children with HIV infection. *Pediatrics* 1994; 94(5): 724-731.
8. Englund JA, Baker CJ, Raskino C et al. Zidovudine, didanosine, or both as the initial treatment for symptomatic HIV-infected children. *AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) Study 152 Team. New England Journal of Medicine* 1997; 336(24): 1704-1712.
9. McKinney RE, Johnson GM, Stanley K et al. A randomized study of combined zidovudine-lamivudine versus didanosine monotherapy in children with symptomatic therapy-naïve HIV-1 infection. *The Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 300 Study Team. Journal of Pediatrics* 1998; 133(4): 500-508.
10. Kline MW, Van Dyke RB, Lindsey JC et al. Combination therapy with stavudine (d4T) plus didanosine (ddI) in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *The Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 327 Team. Pediatrics* 1999; 103(5): e62.
11. Mueller BU, Nelson RP, Jr., Sleasman J et al. A phase I/II study of the protease inhibitor ritonavir in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatrics* 1998; 101(3 Pt 1): 335-343.
12. Funk MB, Linde R, Wintergerst U et al. Preliminary experiences with triple therapy including nelfinavir and two reverse transcriptase inhibitors in previously untreated HIV- infected children. *AIDS* 1999; 13(13): 1653-1658.

### Lamivudine (3TC, Epivir®)

URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/20596S12LBL.pdf>

URL: [link to Guideline Appendix 3TC](#)

#### Overview

Lamivudine (3TC) was approved in November 1995 for use in infants greater than 3 months of age and children based on efficacy studies in adults in conjunction with safety and pharmacokinetic studies in children. In September 1997 it was approved as a fixed combination of 3TC/ZDV for adults and adolescents greater than 12 years old. In November 2000 it was approved as a fixed-dose combination of 3TC/ZDV/abacavir for adolescents and adults weighing greater than 40 kg.

3TC is the negative enantiomer of a synthetic cytidine analogue. 3TC requires intracellular phosphorylation to become active and does so preferentially, like ddI and ddC, in resting cells. 3TC has activity against HIV-1, HIV-2 as well as hepatitis B virus. The CSF/plasma ratio in children is relatively low (0.11) compared with that of ZDV (0.25), but higher than that of ddI (0.05) (1). The bioavailability is approximately 66% in children and 86% in adolescents and adults. Its plasma half-life is 2 hours and its intracellular half-life is 10-15 hours allowing for twice daily dosing.

### Resistance

When 3TC is administered as monotherapy, resistance emerges rapidly and is associated with a single genotypic mutation at codon 184. Resistance also develops rapidly (within weeks) when 3TC is used in non-suppressive combination antiretroviral regimens, such as dual NRTI therapy with ZDV/3TC (2). Therefore optimal use of 3TC is within a combination of at least three antiretroviral medications capable of providing full suppression of viral replication. 3TC-resistant virus may be partially cross-resistant to ddI and ddC. *In vitro*, development of the codon 184 3TC resistance mutation is associated with increased fidelity of the viral reverse transcriptase enzyme for its substrate (4). It is speculated that this could influence the evolution of the virus and may prevent or delay the generation of drug resistant variants. For example, the 184 mutation is reported to suppress ZDV resistance *in vitro* and when introduced into the background of a ZDV-resistant reverse transcriptase gene to suppress the effect of some ZDV resistance mutations (5). Additionally, the M184I/V mutation is associated with diminished viral replicative fitness (6).

### Adverse Effects

3TC is very well tolerated. The major reported toxicities are pancreatitis and peripheral neuropathy (1, 3). Headache, fatigue and gastrointestinal upset have also been described. Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including 3TC.

### Pediatric Experience

Phase I/II study of 3TC showed that the agent could decrease viral burden by 0.77 logs when used as monotherapy (1). In PACTG 300, children receiving ZDV and 3TC had a lower risk of HIV disease progression or death than those receiving ddI alone (7). In the European PENTA-4 double-blind randomized trial of the addition of 3TC or placebo to NRTI therapy in pediatric patients with advanced disease, 3TC was well tolerated when coupled with ZDV, ddI or ZDV plus ddC (8). In PACTG 338, 42% of children receiving triple combination ZDV, 3TC plus ritonavir had undetectable HIV-RNA at week 48 compared with 27% receiving a single NRTI plus ritonavir.

### References:

1. Lewis LL, Venzon D, Church J. et al. Lamivudine in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection: a phase I/II study. The National Cancer Institute Pediatric Branch-Human Immunodeficiency Virus Working Group. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 1996; 174(1): 16-25.
2. Kuritzkes DR, Quinn JB, Benoit SL et al. Drug resistance and virologic response in NUCA 3001, a randomized trial of lamivudine (3TC) versus zidovudine (ZDV) versus ZDV plus 3TC in previously untreated patients. *AIDS* 1996; 10(9): 975-981.
3. Mueller BU. Antiviral chemotherapy. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics* 1997; 9(2): 178-83.
4. Wainberg M, Drosopoulos W, Prasad V. Enhanced fidelity of 3TC-selected mutant HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. *Science* 1996; 271(1): 282-285.
5. Nijhuis M, Schuurman R, de Jone D, et al. Lamivudine resistant human immunodeficiency virus type 1 variants (184V) require multiple amino acid changes to become co-resistant to zidovudine in vivo. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 1997; 176:398-405.
6. Devereux HL, Emery VC, Johnson MA, Loveday C. Replicative fitness in vivo of HIV-1 variants with multiple drug resistance-associated mutations. *Journal of Medical Virology* 2001; 65:218-224.
7. McKinney RE, Johnson GM, Stanley K et al. A randomized study of combined zidovudine-lamivudine versus didanosine monotherapy in children with symptomatic therapy-naïve HIV-1 infection. The Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 300 Study Team. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1998; 133(4): 500-508.
8. PENTA. A randomized double-blind trial of the addition of lamivudine or matching placebo to current nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor therapy in HIV-infected children: the PENTA-4 trial. Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS. *AIDS* 1998; 12(14): F151-60.

## Stavudine (d4T, Zerit®)

URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/zeritwng.pdf>

URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-d4T](#)

### Overview

Stavudine (d4T) was approved in September 1996 for use in infants and children greater than six months of age based on evidence from controlled trials in adults and on safety and pharmacokinetic data from children.

d4T, like ZDV, is a thymidine analogue. It is preferentially phosphorylated and exerts more potent antiviral activity in activated rather than in resting cells. CSF concentrations of d4T varied widely (16-97% of plasma concentrations) in a study of eight pediatric patients receiving chronic dosing (1). Drug absorption is reliable with bioavailability greater than 80%. The plasma half-life is 1.4 hours while the intracellular half-life is 3.5 hours. In pediatric patients, the plasma half-life is 0.96 hours.

### Resistance

High-level resistance to d4T has been difficult to demonstrate; genotypic mutations at codon 50 and 75 have been reported to be associated with diminished *in vitro* susceptibility to d4T. Emergence of genotypic mutations associated with ZDV resistance in ZDV-naïve individuals receiving therapy with d4T-based regimens has been reported (2).

### Adverse Effects

d4T's most significant toxicity is peripheral neuropathy, but this appears to be less common in children than adults (1, 3). Elevated hepatic transaminases are seen in about 11% and pancreatitis in 1% of adults enrolled in clinical trials of d4T. d4T has been studied in pediatric patients in combination with ddI; no pharmacokinetic interactions were observed and there were no cases of peripheral neuropathy (4). Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including d4T. ZDV is a potent inhibitor of the intracellular phosphorylation of d4T *in vitro*, and at least one adult clinical trial indicates that there may also be *in vivo* antagonism associated with this combination (5, 6). Therefore, d4T and ZDV should not be co-administered.

### Pediatric Experience

Many clinicians use d4T as a replacement for ZDV when combination drug regimens are changed. In a phase II study comparing monotherapy with either d4T or ZDV in 212 infected children between 3 months and 6 years of age (median age, 14 months), d4T and ZDV were largely comparable in terms of safety and tolerance (3). Neutropenia occurred significantly less commonly among children receiving d4T than ZDV. d4T has been studied in combination with ddI in HIV-infected children (4, 9). This combination was well tolerated; in PACTG 327, plasma RNA levels showed larger average declines in children receiving d4T/ddI than d4T monotherapy (9). However, while these declines were maintained through 48 weeks of therapy, virologic suppression was incomplete in both groups, with fewer than 8% of patients having RNA levels <200 copies/ml at any time point. d4T has also been studied in children in combination with a protease inhibitor; the dual combination of d4T and ritonavir produced comparable virologic effects to the triple combination of ZDV, 3TC and ritonavir in 12- and 36-week analyses from PACTG 338 (10, 11). However, after 48 weeks of follow-up, the proportion of children with undetectable viral load was significantly higher in the triple than dual drug regimen.

### References:

1. Kline MW, Dunkle LM, Church JA et al. A phase I/II evaluation of stavudine (d4T) in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatrics* 1995; 96:2 Pt 1 (Aug): 247-252.



2. Coakley EP, Gillis JM, Hammer SM. Phenotypic and genotypic resistance patterns of HIV-1 isolates derived from individuals treated with didanosine and stavudine. *AIDS* 2000; 14: F9-15.
3. Kline MW, Fletcher CV, Harris AT et al. A pilot study of combination therapy with indinavir, stavudine (d4T), and didanosine (ddI) in children infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1998; 132(3 Pt 1): 543-546.
4. Kline MW, Fletcher CV, Federici ME et al. Combination Therapy with Stavudine and Didanosine in Children With Advanced Human Immunodeficiency virus Infection: Pharmacokinetic Properties, Safety, and Immunologic and Virologic Effects. *Pediatrics* 1996; 97(6): 886-890.
5. Hoggard P, Kewn S, Barry M et al. Effects of drugs on 2', 3'-dideoxy-2', 3'-didehydrothymidine phosphorylation in vitro. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1997; 41(6): 1231-1236.
6. Hirsch MS. Selecting combination therapy using data from in vitro studies. *AIDS Reader* 1997; July/August, 116-119.
7. Kline MW, Blanchard S, Fletcher CV et al. A phase I study of abacavir (1592U89) alone and in combination with other antiretroviral agents in infants and children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *AIDS Clinical Trials Group 330 Team. Pediatrics* 1999; 103(4): e47.
8. Kline MW, Van Dyke RB, Lindsey JC et al. A randomized comparative trial of stavudine (d4T) versus zidovudine (ZDV, AZT) in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *AIDS Clinical Trials Group 240 Team. Pediatrics* 1998; 101(2): 214-220.
9. Kline MW, Van Dyke RB, Lindsey JC et al. Combination therapy with stavudine (d4T) plus didanosine (ddI) in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *The Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 327 Team. Pediatrics* 1999; 103(5): e62.
10. Yogev R, Stanley K, Nachman S et al. Virologic efficacy of ZDV+3TC vs. d4T+Ritonavir (RTV) vs. ZDV+3TC+RTV in stable antiretroviral experienced HIV-infected children (PACTG Trial 338). In 37th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Sept.28-Oct.1. Toronto, Canada; 1997. Abstract LB-6.
11. Nachman SA, Stanley K, Yogev R et al. Nucleoside analogs plus ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy-experienced HIV-infected children: a randomized controlled trial. *Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 338 Study Team. Journal of the American Medical Association* 2000; 283(4): 492-498.

### **Zalcitabine (ddC, Hivid®)**

**URL: <http://www.rocheusa.com/products/hivid/pi.html>**

**URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-ddC](#)**

#### *Overview*

In August 1994 zalcitabine (ddC) was approved for use in adults and adolescents older than 13 years of age. It is not FDA-approved for use in pediatric patients.

ddC is a cytidine analogue that undergoes intracellular phosphorylation to its active form in resting cells. It is well adsorbed from the gut with approximately 70 to 80% bioavailability in adults. The plasma half-life in HIV-infected adults ranges from 1.2 to 2 hours while the intracellular half-life is approximately 2.6 hours. There are limited pharmacokinetic data in children. Oral bioavailability in children is approximately 54% compared with almost 90% in adults. Plasma half-life in a limited study of children ranging in age from 6 months to 13 years, was 0.2-1.9 hours. ddC is less than 4% protein bound and therefore drug interactions involving displacement at binding sites are unlikely.

#### *Resistance*

Genotypic mutations at reverse transcriptase codons 65, 69 and 184 are associated with ddC resistance. Mutations occurring together at codons 75, 77, 116 (multinucleoside resistant) plus 151 are associated with high-level ddC resistance.

### *Adverse Effects*

Although uncommon, peripheral neuropathy was observed in some children in PACTG 138. ddC has similar toxicities as ddI; combination with ddI is not recommended due to overlapping genotypic resistance mutations and enhanced risk of peripheral neuropathy and pancreatitis. Rashes and oral ulcerations have also been reported with ddC therapy in children (1). Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including ddC.

### *Pediatric Experience*

Initial studies of ddC monotherapy and of alternating ddC and ZDV therapy in pediatric patients demonstrated evidence of antiretroviral activity, with increase in CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocyte count and decrease in p24 antigenemia in some patients; however, IQ scores appeared to fall during ddC monotherapy (1-3). The combination of ddC and ZDV has been studied in pediatric patients, and appears to be well tolerated (4).

### References:

1. Pizzo PA, Butler K, Balis F et al. Dideoxycytidine alone and in an alternating schedule with zidovudine (AZT) in children with symptomatic human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1990; 117: 799-808.
2. Chadwick EG, Nazareno LA, Nieuwenhuis TJ et al. Phase I evaluation of zalcitabine administered to human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 1995; 172(6): 1475-1479.
3. Spector SA, Blanchard S, Wara DW et al. Comparative trial of two dosages of zalcitabine in zidovudine-experienced children with advanced human immunodeficiency virus disease. *Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group. Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 1997; 16(6): 623-626.
4. Bakshi SS, Britto P, Capparelli E et al. Evaluation of pharmacokinetics, safety, tolerance, and activity of combination of zalcitabine and zidovudine in stable, zidovudine-treated pediatric patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 190 Team. Journal of Infectious Disease* 1997; 175(5): 1039-2050.

### **Zidovudine (ZDV, AZT, Retrovir®)**

URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/20518s4lbl.pdf>

URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-ZDV](#)

### *Overview*

Zidovudine (ZDV) was the first NRTI studied in adult and pediatric clinical trials and the first antiretroviral agent approved for therapy of HIV infection. ZDV first received FDA approval for the treatment of HIV infection in adults in 1987. It was approved for use in children ages 3 months to 12 years in May 1990. Perinatal trial PACTG 076 established that a ZDV prophylactic regimen given during pregnancy, labor and to the newborn reduced the risk of perinatal HIV transmission by nearly 70% (1). Zidovudine received FDA approval for that indication in August 1994.

ZDV is a thymidine analogue that has its greatest activity in replicating cells. It has good central nervous system (CNS) penetration (CSF/plasma ratio = 0.25) and is the NRTI of choice when treating children with HIV-related CNS disease (2). ZDV is metabolized by the liver, primarily by glucuronidation, and then excreted by the kidneys. It is well absorbed in the gut with an average bioavailability of approximately 60%, and is approximately 35% protein bound. The serum half-life is 1.1 hours and the intracellular half-life is 3 hours.

### Resistance

The antiretroviral activity of ZDV as monotherapy is limited by emergence of resistance, which generally occurs after months to years of treatment, depending on the patient's disease stage (3). ZDV resistance is a consequence of a stepwise accumulation of genotypic mutations in the viral reverse transcriptase enzyme, including substitutions at codons 41, 70, 67, 210, 215, and 219. The quantity and pattern of mutations influence the level of phenotypic resistance. The codon 184 mutation associated with 3TC resistance is reported to suppress ZDV resistance *in vitro* and, when introduced into the background of a virus containing a ZDV-resistant reverse transcriptase gene, to suppress the effect of some ZDV resistance mutations (4, 5). A small proportion of patients taking ZDV may develop a "multi-drug resistance" genotype, leading to cross-resistance to all NRTI drugs (6).

### Adverse Effects

ZDV is generally well tolerated in children with its major toxicities being macrocytic anemia and neutropenia (7). Dose reduction and hematopoietic growth factors such as erythropoietin and filgrastim (NEUPOGEN, G-CSF) have been used to mitigate these toxicities. ZDV has also been associated with reversible myopathy and cardiomyopathy. Other reported toxicities of ZDV include fatigue, headache, and nausea. Lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis, including fatal cases, have been reported with the use of nucleoside analogues alone or in combination, including ZDV.

### Pediatric Experience

ZDV has been extensively studied in both adult and pediatric trials, initially as monotherapy, and more recently in combination with other agents. ZDV monotherapy was associated with weight gain and improved neurological status in pediatric clinical trials (8, 9). ZDV as monotherapy had modest effect on viral load and CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocyte counts. ZDV is currently used in combination with other antiretroviral drugs when used for treatment of HIV disease. PACTG 152 showed that the combination ZDV/ddI was superior to ZDV monotherapy (10). ZDV has also been studied in dual combination with the NRTI 3TC and found to significantly improve weight for age and length for age z-scores in young treatment-naïve children (9). This study concluded that a combination regimen containing ZDV and 3TC or ddI was superior both clinically and by laboratory measurements to monotherapy with didanosine (ddI). ZDV has been studied as part of a PI-sparing, three-drug nucleoside analogue regimen (ZDV, 3TC and abacavir) in antiretroviral-experienced children. Increased virologic benefit was found in those patients who had two new NRTIs added to their regimen (11). Viral suppression was not sustained however and it is unclear what role triple NRTI combinations may have in the pediatric population. ZDV is often a component of combination therapy including NNRTIs or PIs. For example, dramatic decreases in viral load and increases in CD4<sup>+</sup> count have been observed when ZDV has been combined with ddI and the PI ritonavir (12). Long term (greater than 96 weeks) immunologic improvement and reconstitution with a naïve T-cell phenotype (CD4<sup>+</sup>CD45RA<sup>+</sup>) has been seen in some children receiving the combination ZDV, 3TC and the PI indinavir (13). Some children in this study continued to have significant increases in CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts even with virologic rebound.

### References:

1. Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1994; 331(18): 1173-1180.
2. Balis FM, Pizzo PA, Murphy RF et al. The pharmacokinetics of zidovudine administered by continuous infusion in children. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1989; 110(4): 279-285.
3. Richman DD, Grimes J, Lagakos S. Effect of stage of disease and drug dose on zidovudine susceptibilities of isolates of human immunodeficiency virus. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 1990; 3:743-746.
4. Wainberg M, Drosopoulos W, Prasad V. Enhanced fidelity of 3TC-selected mutant HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. *Science* 1996; 271:1282-285.

5. Nijhuis M, Schuurman R, de Jone D, et al. Lamivudine resistant human immunodeficiency virus type 1 variants (184V) require multiple amino acid changes to become co-resistant to zidovudine in vivo. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 1997; 176:398-405.
6. de Jong JJ, Goudsmit J, Lukashov VV, et al. Insertion of two amino acids combined with changes in reverse transcriptase containing tyrosine-215 of HIV-1 resistant to multiple nucleoside drugs. *AIDS* 1999; 13:75-80.
7. McKinney RE, Maha MA, Connor EM et al. A multicenter trial of oral zidovudine in children with advanced human immunodeficiency virus disease. The Protocol 043 Study Group. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1991; 324(15): 1018-1025.
8. Pizzo PA, Eddy J, Falloon J et al. Effect of continuous intravenous infusion zidovudine (AZT) in children with symptomatic HIV infection. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1988; 319:889-896.
9. McKinney RE, Johnson GM, Stanley K et al. A randomized study of combined zidovudine-lamivudine versus didanosine monotherapy in children with symptomatic therapy-naïve HIV-1 infection. The Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 300 Study Team. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1998; 133(4): 500-508.
10. Englund JA, Baker CJ, Raskino C et al. Zidovudine, didanosine, or both as the initial treatment for symptomatic HIV-infected children. AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) Study 152 Team. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1997; 336(24): 1704-1712.
11. Saez-Llorens X, Nelson RP, Emmanuel P et al. A randomized, double-blind study of triple nucleoside therapy of abacavir, lamivudine, and zidovudine versus lamivudine and zidovudine in previously treated human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. *Pediatrics* 2001; 107(1): E4.
12. Mueller BU, Nelson RP, Jr., Sleasman J et al. A phase I/II study of the protease inhibitor ritonavir in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatrics* 1998; 101(3 Pt 1): 335-343.
13. Jankelevich S, Mueller BU, Mackall CL et al. Long-term virologic and immunologic responses in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children treated with indinavir, zidovudine, and lamivudine. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 2001; 183(7): 1116-1120.

## Non-Nucleoside Analogue Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

The non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) have substantial and specific activity against HIV-1, although not HIV-2 or other retroviruses. Unlike the dideoxynucleoside NRTIs, which require intracellular phosphorylation to become active and then cause premature chain termination, this class of agents inhibits DNA polymerase activities by noncompetitively binding to and disrupting a unique catalytic site of the reverse transcriptase enzyme (1). There are currently three NNRTIs approved for the treatment of HIV infection: nevirapine (NVP), delavirdine (DLV), and efavirenz (EFV). All members of this class are metabolized by the cytochrome P450 enzyme system, particularly CYP3A4, and depending on the agent may affect (either induce or inhibit) the metabolism of other medications.

NNRTIs rapidly reduce viral load. However, drug resistance develops rapidly after initiation of monotherapy or with use of non-suppressive combination regimens, and cross-resistance is likely between the drugs in this class (2). Sustained suppression of viral load has been observed in some patients who have been treated with regimens combining NNRTIs plus NRTIs as well as NNRTIs plus PIs. A two-dose intrapartum/newborn nevirapine regimen has been shown to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission by nearly 50% compared to an ultrashort intrapartum/1 week infant ZDV regimen (3).

### References:

1. De Clercq E. Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) for the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) infections: strategies to overcome drug resistance development. *Medicinal Research Reviews* 1996; 16:125-157.
2. Murphy RF. Nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *AIDS Clinical Care* 1997; 9: 75-79.
3. Guay LA, Musoke P, Fleming T et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: HIVNET 012 randomised trial. *Lancet* 1999; 354(9181): 795-802.

## **Delavirdine (DLV, Rescriptor®)**

**URL:** <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/20705s8lbl.pdf>

**URL:** [link to Guideline Appendix-DLV](#)

### *Overview*

Delavirdine (DLV) was approved in April 1997 for use in adolescents 16 years and older and adults in combination with other antiretroviral agents. This agent, similar to others in its class has no activity against HIV-2 but is specific for HIV-1. This NNRTI has had very limited study in pediatric patients under age 13 years.

Delavirdine is metabolized in part by the hepatic cytochrome P450 3A (CYP3A) enzyme system. In general, delavirdine is considered an inhibitor of these cytochrome P450 isoenzymes and may decrease the metabolism of certain drugs resulting in increased drug levels and potential toxicity. Because of its ability to delay clearance of some protease inhibitors, delavirdine is being studied for use in combination with indinavir or saquinavir to increase trough plasma concentrations of those agents. However, concerns about NNRTI cross-resistance may limit the utility of such combinations, and they are not currently recommended.

### *Resistance*

As with the other NNRTIs, DLV resistance can be induced by a single point mutation. DLV has primary resistance mutations at reverse transcriptase codons 103 and 181, so resistance to delavirdine predicts resistance to nevirapine and efavirenz. The highest degree of resistance to DLV however, is found with the combination of mutations at codons 181 and 236.

### *Adverse Effects*

Skin rash is the most common toxicity observed with DLV, as observed with the other NNRTIs. Skin rash attributable to DLV was observed in 18% of all adults receiving combination regimens with DLV in phase II and III trials; an incidence rate as high as 50% was reported in some trials (Rescriptor label) (1). Dose titration did not significantly reduce the incidence of rash, but the rash was more common in adults with lower CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts and typically appeared within one to three weeks of treatment. Severe rash such as Stevens Johnson Syndrome, while rare, does occur; like the other NNRTIs, DLV should be discontinued if severe rash or severe rash with constitutional findings occurs. Other toxicities were uncommon; elevated liver transaminases were observed in 2-7% of adults receiving DLV but did not differ from comparison groups receiving regimens not including DLV. In the one phase I study involving children, the most frequently reported adverse effects were rash in 40% (all grade 1 or 2) and vomiting in 40% (1, 2).

### *Pediatric Experience*

DLV has been evaluated children in only one phase I study in 15 children aged 5 months to 15 years. DLV was administered twice daily as an oral suspension or as a tablet/tablet dispersion at doses ranging from 12 to 28 mg/kg body weight (2). Doses of 16 mg/kg twice daily in children 5 months or older produced systemic DLV exposure similar to that achieved in adults receiving doses of 400 mg three times daily. No other pediatric studies are available at this time.

### References:

1. Scott LJ, Perry CM. Delavirdine a review of its use in HIV infection. *Drugs* 2000; 60:1411-1444.
2. Willoughby R, Watson D, Welliver R, et al. Phase I evaluation of delavirdine in HIV-1-infected pediatric patients. 39<sup>th</sup> Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, San Francisco, CA, September 26-29, 1999. Abstract 1995.

## **Efavirenz (DMP-266, EFV, Sustiva™)**

**URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2000/20972S7LBL.PDF>**

**URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-EFV](#)**

### *Overview*

Efavirenz (EFV) was approved in September 1998 for children older than 3 years of age, adolescents and adults.

Like the protease inhibitors, EFV is metabolized via the cytochrome P450 pathway (CYP3A4 and CYP2B6, primarily). EFV has been shown to induce its own metabolism and to be a mixed inducer/inhibitor of cytochrome P450 isoenzymes. Therefore concentrations of concomitant drugs can be increased or decreased depending on the specific enzyme pathway involved. In addition, concomitantly administered medications that induce or inhibit cytochrome P450 isoenzymes may affect the plasma concentrations of efavirenz. Efavirenz is highly protein bound (>99%), and may therefore interact with other highly protein bound drugs like phenobarbital and phenytoin.

### *Resistance*

EFV, like other NNRTIs, has a low genetic barrier to resistance, with high-level resistance seen with a single mutation (lysine to asparagine), typically in the 103 position. Other known mutations conferring phenotypic resistance include those at codons 100, 108 or 225. Cross-resistance to EFV is likely with DLV-resistant virus and also with NVP-resistant virus in some cases; the extent of resistance may vary depending on which mutations are present. Therefore, EFV should never be used as monotherapy. EFV appears to offer an alternative to the protease inhibitors as an element of initial therapy when combined with 2 NRTIs and should be active in the secondary treatment of patients initially treated with a protease inhibitor, but not with an NNRTI (due to cross resistance).

### *Adverse Effects*

The toxicity profile for efavirenz differs for adults and children. In adults, a central nervous system (CNS) complex of confusion, agitation, sleep disturbance, nightmares, hallucinations or other symptoms has been reported in more than 50% of patients (1). These symptoms usually occur early in treatment and rarely require drug discontinuation. Bedtime dosing, particularly during the first several weeks of therapy appears to decrease the occurrence and severity of this side effect. Adverse CNS effects occurred in 14% of children receiving EFV in clinical studies (2). The principal side effect of EFV seen in children is rash, which was seen in up to 40% of children compared to 27% of adults. The rash is usually maculopapular, pruritic, and mild to moderate in severity and rarely requires drug discontinuation. Onset is typically in the first 2 weeks of treatment (1, 2). While severe rash and Stevens Johnson Syndrome have been reported, this is rare. Other reported adverse events include diarrhea, nausea, and increased aminotransferase levels.

### *Pediatric Experience*

EFV has been found to have potent antiviral effects in vivo when combined with either two NRTIs, a protease inhibitor or an NRTI and a protease inhibitor, in three controlled trials conducted in 928 infected adults followed for 24 weeks (1, 3, 4). The EFV containing regimens were comparable in efficacy to the dual NRTI-PI containing combinations over 16 to 72 weeks of therapy as measured by decrease in HIV-RNA and increase in CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts (1, 3). An open label study of EFV combined with nelfinavir and one or two NRTIs was performed in fifty-seven pediatric patients (PACTG 382), some as young as age 3 years (2). In an intent-to-treat analysis, at 48 weeks of therapy, 76% of children had plasma HIV RNA levels <400 copies/mL, and 63% had HIV RNA levels <50 copies/mL (2). The median times to achieve those levels were 4 and 20 weeks, respectively. Therefore, children with detectable HIV RNA of greater than 50 copies/mL by the ultra sensitive RNA assay after one month of therapy continued to accrue some

virologic benefit through 5 months of treatment with this regimen (5). A liquid formulation of EFV is under study in children under the age of 3 years or who weigh less than 13 kg, but data are not yet available.

#### References:

1. Staszewski S, Morales-Ramirez J, Tashima KT et al. Efavirenz plus zidovudine and lamivudine, efavirenz plus indinavir, and indinavir plus zidovudine and lamivudine in the treatment of HIV-1 infection in adults. Study 006 Team. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1999; 341(25): 1865-1873.
2. Starr SE, Fletcher CV, Spector SA et al. Combination therapy with efavirenz, nelfinavir, and nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors in children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 382 Team. New England Journal of Medicine* 1999; 341(25): 1874-881.
3. Albrecht M, Katzenstein D, Bosch R et al. ACTG 364: Virologic Efficacy of Nelfinavir (NFV) and/or Efavirenz (EFZ) in Combination with New Nucleoside Analogs in Nucleoside Experienced Subjects. 6th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, January 31-February 4, 1999. Chicago, IL; Abstract 489.
4. Fessel W, Haas D, Delapenha R et al. A phase III, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study to determine the effectiveness and tolerability of the combination of efavirenz (EFV, Sustiva, DMP 266) and indinavir (IDV) versus indinavir in HIV-1 infected patients receiving nucleoside analogue (NRTI) therapy at 24 weeks [study DMP 266-020]. XII International Conference on AIDS. Geneva, Switzerland 1998. Abstract 22343.
5. Spector SA, Yong FH, Cabral S et al. Patterns of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA response to highly active antiretroviral therapy in infected children. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 2000; 182(6): 1769-1773.

### **Nevirapine (NVP, Viramune®)**

**URL:** <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2000/viramunelabel.pdf>

**URL:** [link to Guideline Appendix-NVP](#)

#### *Overview*

Nevirapine (NVP) is approved for use in children greater than 2 months old. NVP is a dipyrindiazepinone derivative and is specific for HIV-1. It does not inhibit any of the human cellular DNA polymerases (1).

NVP is highly lipophilic and widely distributed in the body; CSF/plasma concentration ratio is approximately 0.45. NVP undergoes extensive hepatic metabolism by way of hepatic cytochrome P450 metabolic enzymes, which NVP itself induces. During the course of the first 2 weeks of administration, plasma clearance increases while half-life decreases. NVP clearance in children is greater than in adults, and clearance in children under 9 years of age is greater than in older children (2). Due to induction of cytochrome P450 hepatic enzymes, concomitantly administered medications that induce or inhibit cytochrome P450 enzymes may affect the plasma concentration of NVP. Medications that undergo hepatic metabolism by cytochrome P450 enzymes may have levels increased or decreased by concomitant NVP administration.

#### *Resistance*

NVP has potent antiviral activity but drug resistance develops rapidly when NVP is administered as monotherapy (3, 4). Genotypic mutations associated with viral resistance to NVP typically occur within one to six weeks after initiation of NVP in situations where viral production is not effectively controlled. High-level resistance has been associated with a single point mutation at codon 103, 106, 108, 181, and 188 in the reverse transcriptase gene, with a mutation at codon 181 being the most common (5, 6). Mutations associated with resistance to nevirapine can confer cross-resistance to other NNRTIs. HIV

subtype B viruses that contain the K103N compared to the Y181C mutation may differ in their cross-resistance to efavirenz (7, 8). Viruses with the Y181C mutation alone have little resistance to efavirenz (although Y181C can enhance the level of resistance of viruses containing additional NVP mutations), whereas viruses with the single K103N mutation are cross-resistant to other non-nucleosides (9). With the exception of the use of the two-dose intrapartum/newborn NVP prophylaxis regimen to reduce perinatal HIV transmission, NVP should only be used in combination with other antiretroviral drugs (10).

### *Adverse Effects*

The most common adverse events reported in adults include headache, nausea, fever, and skin rashes (11). In initial clinical trials of NVP treatment in HIV-infected children, rash was observed in 24% (12). When a 2-week lower dose “lead in” period was used, the incidence of rash is decreased (2). In a study of 4-drug therapy including nevirapine (given with 2 week “lead in”), rash was observed in only 6% of children. Granulocytopenia was the second most frequent adverse event, seen in 16%. However, it should be noted the children were also receiving ZDV, a known cause of granulocytopenia. The skin rash typically presents in the first 28 days after initiating therapy and in rare cases has progressed to Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis, a severe skin rash accompanied by hypersensitivity reactions (characterized by rash, constitutional symptoms such as fever, arthralgia, myalgia, and lymphadenopathy, and visceral involvement such as hepatitis, eosinophilia, granulocytopenia, and renal dysfunction) or death. NVP should be discontinued if severe rash or severe rash with constitutional findings occurs. Patients experiencing rash during the 14-day lead-in period should not have their NVP dose increased until the rash has resolved. ([see: Adult Guidelines Document: Table 16-Black Box warnings](#))\*. Severe, life-threatening and in some cases fatal hepatotoxicity, including fulminant and cholestatic hepatitis, hepatic necrosis and hepatic failure, has been reported in NVP-treated patients. Increased serum transaminases levels or a history of hepatitis B or C infection prior to starting nevirapine are associated with higher risk for hepatic adverse events. The majority of cases has occurred during the first 12 weeks of NVP therapy, and frequent and intensive clinical and laboratory monitoring, including liver function tests, is important during this time period. However, about one third of cases occurred after 12 weeks of treatment, so continued periodic monitoring of liver function tests is needed. In some cases, patients presented with non-specific prodromal signs or symptoms of hepatitis and progressed to hepatic failure; patients with symptoms or signs of hepatitis should have liver function tests performed. NVP should be permanently discontinued and not restarted in patients who develop clinical hepatitis (FDA 12/00).

### *Pediatric Experience*

Treatment of therapy naïve adults with a triple antiretroviral regimen demonstrated comparable results for dual nucleoside combinations with either indinavir or NVP (13). Nevirapine administered as a single 200 mg oral dose to the mother intrapartum and a single 2mg/kg oral dose to the infant at age 48 hours reduced perinatal transmission by approximately 50% when compared to an intrapartum/ one week infant regimen of ZDV in a trial in a breastfeeding population in Uganda (14). Combination therapy with NVP, ZDV and ddI in young infected infants has been associated with sustained viral suppression in a small number of children (15). PACTG Protocol 377 randomized 181 PI-naïve, NNRTI-naïve mild-moderately suppressed children to one of four combination treatment regimens. All of the regimens contained d4T and a PI (either ritonavir or nelfinavir); three of the four regimens also included NVP as part of combination therapy. Children in the NVP containing arms experienced moderate or worse skin rash more frequently than those not receiving NVP. Importantly, those children receiving a quadruple regimen containing both NVP and a PI had a significantly greater increase in CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count from baseline to Week 24, then those receiving other regimens (16).

**\* The updated version of the adult-adolescents guidelines, containing the new Table 16, Adverse Drug Reactions Related "Black Box Warnings" in Product Labeling for Antiretrovirals Agents; is scheduled for release in December 2001.**



References:

1. Merluzzi VJ, Hargrave KD, Labadia M et al. Inhibition of HIV-1 replication by a nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor. *Science* 1990; 250:1411-1413.
2. Luzuriaga K, Bryson Y, McSherry G et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and activity of nevirapine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 1996; 174(4): 713-721.
3. Havlir D, Cheeseman SH, McLaughlin M et al. High-dose nevirapine: safety, pharmacokinetics, and antiviral effect in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 1995; 171(3): 537-545.
4. Havlir DV, Eastman S, Gamst A et al. Nevirapine-resistant human immunodeficiency virus: kinetics of replication and estimated prevalence in untreated patients. *Journal of Virology* 1996; 70(11): 7894-7899.
5. Richman D, Havlir D, Corbeil. Nevirapine resistance mutations of HIV selected during therapy. *Journal of Virology* 1994; 68:1660-1666.
6. Hanna GJ, Johnson VA, Kuritzkes DR et al. Patterns of resistance mutations selected by treatment of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection with zidovudine, didanosine, and nevirapine. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 2000; 181(3): 904-911.
7. Casado JL, Hertogs K, Ruiz L, et al. Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor resistance among patients failing a nevirapine plus protease inhibitor-containing regimen. *AIDS* 2000; 14:F1-F7.
8. Grappin M, Piroth L, Kohli E, et al. Incomplete genotypic resistance to nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in patients treated with nevirapine: a potential interest in clinical practice. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome* 2000; 25:464-468.
9. Bacheler L, Jeffrey S, Hanna G, et al. Genotypic correlates of phenotypic resistance to efavirenz in virus isolates from patients failing nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor therapy. *Journal of Virology* 2001; 75:4999-5008.
10. Staszewski S, Massari FE, Kober A et al. Combination therapy with zidovudine prevents selection of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 variants expressing high-level resistance to L-697, 661, a nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 1995; 171(5): 1159-1165.
11. Murphy RF. Nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *AIDS Clinical Care* 1997; 9:75-79.
12. Bardsley-Elliot A, Perry CM. Nevirapine: a review of its use in the prevention and treatment of paediatric HIV infection. *Paediatric Drugs* 2000;Sept-Oct (5): 373-407.
13. Squires K. The Atlantic study: a randomized, open-label trial comparing two protease-inhibitor (PI)-sparing antiretroviral strategies versus a standard PI-containing regimen, final 48-week data. In XIII International AIDS Conference, July 9-14. Durban, South Africa; 2000. Abstract LbPeB7046.
14. Guay LA, Musoke P, Fleming T et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: HIVNET 012 randomised trial. *Lancet* 1999; 354(9181): 795-802.
15. Luzuriaga K, Bryson Y, Krogstad P et al. Combination treatment with zidovudine, didanosine, and nevirapine in infants with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1997; 336(19): 1343-1349.
16. Wiznia A, Stanley K, Krogstad P et al. Combination nucleoside analog reverse transcriptase inhibitor(s) plus nevirapine, nelfinavir, or ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy- experienced HIV-infected children: week 24 results of a randomized controlled trial--PACTG 377. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 377 Study Team. *AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses* 2000; 16(12): 1113-1121.

## PROTEASE INHIBITORS

The protease inhibitors (PIs) are potent antiretroviral agents, especially when used in combination with NRTI and/or NNRTI therapy (1). This class of antiretroviral agent has the distinct advantage of blocking HIV-1 infection in both acutely and chronically infected cells by preventing the production of mature, infectious virions. Unlike the NRTI drugs, intracellular conversion of the parent compound is not required for activity of any of the protease inhibitors. Resistance has been reported with all protease inhibitors when used as monotherapy, and can rapidly develop even with combination therapy in the presence of

subtherapeutic drug levels (as can occur when there is inadequate dosing, poor drug absorption, rapid drug clearance, or not adequate adherence to the prescribed drug regimen). The patterns of resistance mutations are more complex than observed with the NRTIs and NNRTIs. A larger number of genotypic mutation sites are observed and there is greater variability in the temporal pattern of development of these mutations and in the combination of mutations that lead to drug resistance. The mutation patterns associated with protease inhibitor resistance overlap; resistance to one drug may result in reduced susceptibility to some or all of the other currently available protease inhibitors. Therapeutic regimens consisting of two protease inhibitors (e.g., zidovudine and didanosine or zalcitabine and didanosine soft gel capsules) combined with one or two NRTIs are under evaluation in adults and children; early results are promising, showing potent antiviral activity. However, there are neither safety data nor appropriate recommendations regarding dosage of combination protease inhibitor regimens in children available at this time. The practitioner should consider many factors when considering the short- and long-term risks and benefits of utilizing protease inhibitor therapy. Among the most important in this regard is the capacity of the patient and family to maintain adherence to the prescribed regimen.

New onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of pre-existing diabetes mellitus and hyperglycemia have been reported in HIV-infected patients treated with any of the currently available protease inhibitors (2-4). In some cases, diabetic ketoacidosis has occurred. A causal relationship between protease inhibitor therapy and these events has not been established, but health care providers should be aware of the possibility of hyperglycemia in patients receiving these drugs and monitor appropriately. Caregivers and patients should be informed how to recognize the early symptoms of hyperglycemia to ensure prompt health care if such symptoms develop. There have also been reports of increased bleeding, including spontaneous skin hematomas and hemarthrosis, in patients with hemophilia A and B treated with protease inhibitors (5). In some patients additional Factor VIII was given, and in more than half of the reported cases, treatment with protease inhibitors was continued or reintroduced. Additionally, the protease inhibitors have been associated with fat redistribution, lipodystrophy syndrome, and hyperlipidemia in both adults and children receiving therapy (6). A potentially increased risk of cardiovascular disease and bone disorders such as osteoporosis and avascular necrosis are currently being investigated.

Protease inhibitors are metabolized in the liver via the cytochrome P450 enzyme system. A direct human liver microsomal comparison with other protease inhibitors showed the following rank order of CYP3A4 inhibition: ritonavir >> indinavir = nelfinavir = amprenavir > saquinavir (7, 8). Clinically significant drug interactions may occur when a PI is administered concomitantly with other agents metabolized by the cytochrome p450 system, especially those metabolized by CYP3A, CYP2D6, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19, as well as, to a lesser extent, CYP2A6, CYP1A2 and CYP2E1. Increased or decreased plasma concentrations of either drug may occur and consequent clinical abnormalities may be seen. Please go to [Antiretroviral Drug Appendix of Pediatric Guidelines](#) for a list of contraindicated medications. A complete list of potential drug interactions is provided by the PI manufacturer in the prescribing information and should be consulted prior to initiating PI therapy or starting any new concomitant therapy in patients receiving PI-based regimens.

#### References:

1. Lewis JS, II, Terriff CM, Coulston DR et al. Protease Inhibitors: A Therapeutic Breakthrough for the Treatment of Patients with Human Immunodeficiency Virus. *Clinical Therapeutics* 1997; 19(2): 187-214.
2. Eastone JA, Decker CF. New-onset diabetes mellitus associated with use of protease inhibitor. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1997; 127(10): 948.
3. Visnegarwala F, Krause KL, Musher DM. Severe diabetes associated with protease inhibitor therapy. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1997; 127(10): 947.
4. Dube MP, Johnson DL, Currier JS et al. Protease inhibitor-associated hyperglycaemia. *Lancet* 1997; 350(9079): 713-714.

5. Ginsburg C, Salmon-Ceron S, Vassilief D et al. Unusual occurrence of spontaneous haematomas in three asymptomatic HIV-infected haemophilia patients a few days after the onset of ritonavir treatment. *AIDS* 1997; 11(3): 388-389.
6. Arpadi SM, Cuff PA, Horlick M, Wang J, Kotler DP. Lipodystrophy in HIV-infected children is associated with high viral load and low CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocyte count and percentage at baseline and use of protease inhibitors and stavudine. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome* 2001; 27:30-34.
7. Eagling VA, Back DJ, Barry MG. Differential inhibition of cytochrome P450 isoforms by the protease inhibitors Ritonavir, saquinavir and indinavir. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 1997; 44:190-194.
8. Barry M, Mulcahy F, Merry C, Gibbons S, Back D. Pharmacokinetics and potential interactions amongst antiretroviral agents used to treat patients with HIV infection. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics* 1999; 36:289-304.

### **Amprenavir (APV, Agenerase®)**

URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/21039s6lbl.pdf>

URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-APV](#)

#### *Overview*

The Food and Drug Administration in April 1999 approved amprenavir (APV) for use in combination with other antiretrovirals in adults and children over 4 years of age. This approval was based upon the results of controlled trials of up to 24 weeks duration in treatment naïve and experienced adults. Pediatric approval was based upon analysis of two open label trials in treatment experienced children, one after 8 weeks of therapy and one after 4 weeks of therapy. APV is available in both liquid and solid formulations.

Approximately 90% of APV is protein bound, primarily by alpha<sub>1</sub>-acid glycoprotein (AAG). Like other agents in this class APV is metabolized by cytochrome P450 isoenzyme CYP3A4 and has the potential for multiple drug interactions (see product label). Although the absolute bioavailability of APV has not been determined, the APV solution was found to be 14% less bioavailable than the capsule formulation and therefore the two are not interchangeable.

#### *Resistance*

APV therapy induces mutations in HIV-1 protease gene at codons 46, 47, 50, 54, and 84 and at the viral protease p1/p6 cleavage site. A mutation at codon 50 may be unique to this agent. At least 2-3 mutations are required at amino acid residues 46, 47 and 50 to produce >10 fold decrease in sensitivity. Cross-resistance to other PIs is low when mutation at codon 50 alone is present. IDV or RTV-resistant virus is likely to be resistant to APV.

#### *Adverse Effects*

Data compiled from 30 phase I-III studies of amprenavir in 1330 adult and pediatric patients revealed the following most frequently reported adverse events: nausea, diarrhea, rash, headache, oral paresthesia, and fatigue. The majority of adverse events were mild to moderate. Nausea, rash, including Stevens-Johnson Syndrome, and vomiting were the most common adverse events associated with discontinuation of treatment (1). The most common drug related adverse events in trials of pediatric patients are vomiting, nausea, diarrhea, and rash (2). APV should be discontinued for severe rash including Stevens-Johnson Syndrome or moderate rash with systemic symptoms. APV is related to the sulfonamides and the potential for cross-sensitivity of sulfonamides and APV is unknown. APV should therefore be used with caution in patients with sulfonamide allergy. Signs of lipodystrophy have been reported in a few patients on amprenavir. As with all agents in this class, new onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of pre-existing diabetes mellitus, hyperglycemia, and diabetic ketoacidosis may occur.

The FDA approved formulation of APV contains 46 IU of vitamin E/ml of oral solution and 109 IU vitamin E per 150 mg capsule. The recommended dose of APV results in a dose of 138 IU/kg/day of vitamin E using the oral solution with a maximum dose of 8,587 IU vitamin E per day. Patients receiving the recommended adult dose of APV in capsule form receive 1,744 IU/day of vitamin E. There is a paucity of data regarding the use of extremely high doses of vitamin E on a chronic daily basis. The Reference Daily Intake for vitamin E is 30 IU per day for adults and approximately 10 IU per day for children. In a study using vitamin E in premature infants, 20% of infants receiving 100mg/kg/day of vitamin E had serum levels of tocopherol  $\geq$  4.5 mg/dl. This level was associated with an increased incidence of bacterial sepsis and necrotizing enterocolitis (3). Excess ingestion or administration of vitamin E in adults and animals has been associated with creatinuria, decreased platelet aggregation, impaired wound healing, prolongation of Prothrombin Time, hepatomegaly and the potentiation of vitamin K deficiency coagulopathy. Adult and pediatric patients receiving APV should be advised not to take supplemental vitamin E.

(See: [Adult Guidelines Document: Table 16-Black Box warnings](#))\*. The FDA approved liquid formulation of APV contains propylene glycol in a concentration that exceeds WHO standards for use in infants. Young infants have immature levels of alcohol dehydrogenase enzymes, which are involved in the metabolism of propylene glycol. There is concern that the propylene glycol contained in the liquid formulation may not be metabolized adequately and could cause toxicity. High levels of propylene glycol have been associated with hyperosmolality, lactic acidosis, seizures and respiratory depression (American Academy of Pediatrics). Therefore, APV should not be used in its current liquid formulation in children under the age of 4 years.

#### *Pediatric Experience*

In a Phase III study in treatment naïve adults 53% of patients receiving APV with two NRTIs had HIV RNA < 400 copies/mL after 24 weeks of therapy (4). In an open label phase III study of eighty-one treatment experienced children 3-17 years of age receiving APV in combination with 2 NRTIs, 41% had plasma HIV RNA < 400 copies/mL and 65% had plasma HIV RNA < 10,000 copies/mL after 8 weeks of therapy. In this study, PI naïve children had a greater antiviral response than PI experienced children with a median reduction in HIV RNA of 1.41 and 0.38 log copies/mL in PI naïve and PI experienced children respectively (2).

#### References:

1. Pedneault L, Brothers C, Pagano G et al. Safety profile and tolerability of amprenavir in the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with HIV infection. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2000; 22(12): 1378-1394.
2. Yogeve R, Church J, Flynn P et al. Pediatric trial of combination therapy including the protease inhibitor amprenavir (APV). 6th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. Jan 31-Feb 4. 1999. Chicago, IL; Abstract 430.
3. Johnson L, Bowen FW, Jr., Abbasi S et al. Relationship of prolonged pharmacologic serum levels of vitamin E to incidence of sepsis and necrotizing enterocolitis in infants with birth weight 1,500 grams or less. *Pediatrics* 1985; 75(4): 619-638.
4. Goodgame JC, Pottage JC, Jablonowski H et al. Amprenavir in combination with lamivudine and zidovudine versus lamivudine and zidovudine alone in HIV-1-infected antiretroviral-naïve adults. Amprenavir PROAB3001 International Study Team. *Antiviral Therapy* 2000; 5(3): 215-225.

**\* *The updated version of the adult-adolescents guidelines, containing the new Table 16, Adverse Drug Reactions Related "Black Box Warnings" in Product Labeling for Antiretrovirals Agents; is scheduled for release in December 2001.***

## **Indinavir (IDV, Crixivan®)**

**URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/20685s411bl.pdf>**

**URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-IDV](#)**

### *Overview*

Indinavir (IDV) was approved in 1996 for use in adolescents and adults older than 18 years of age. Like the other PIs, IDV is prone to multiple drug interactions due to its interaction with the cytochrome P450 system (see product label). A liquid formulation is not yet available. Administration of IDV with a meal high in calories, fat and protein results in a reduction in plasma IDV concentrations; administration with lighter meals (e.g. dry toast with jelly, apple juice and coffee with skim milk and sugar) results in little to no change in IDV pharmacokinetics.

### *Resistance*

Resistance to IDV is associated with mutations at codons 10, 32, 54, 63, 71, 82, 84 and 90. Virus resistant to IDV may also be resistant to RTV. IDV-resistant virus may be broadly cross-resistant to all other PIs.

### *Adverse Effects*

The most serious side effect observed in both adults and children is nephrolithiasis. In double-blind clinical trials in adults, the incidence of nephrolithiasis was 9.3% in IDV-containing groups. Abnormal renal function (including acute renal failure) has been observed in a small number of patients with nephrolithiasis; abnormal renal function was generally transient and temporally related to the acute episode. Interstitial nephritis has also been observed in patients receiving IDV. If signs and symptoms such as flank pain with or without hematuria occur, temporary interruption of therapy (for 1-3 days) during the acute episode may be considered. Adequate hydration is essential when IDV is administered. Nephrolithiasis may be somewhat more frequent among children, likely due to the difficulty in maintaining adequate hydration; in an IDV study in fifty-four children, 13% developed hematuria (1).

Asymptomatic mild elevation of bilirubin, due to an increase in indirect bilirubin, has also been reported in adults and children receiving IDV. In adult trials, about 10% of IDV-receiving patients had bilirubin values  $\geq 2.5$  mg/dL at some point during treatment; in most cases, the maximum bilirubin elevations were observed after 1 or more weeks of treatment. Clinical adverse effects such as jaundice or elevations in serum transaminase levels have only rarely been reported. As with all agents in this class, new onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of pre-existing diabetes mellitus, hyperglycemia, and diabetic ketoacidosis have been reported.

### *Pediatric Experience*

In clinical trials in infected adults, IDV in combination with NRTIs has been shown to retard clinical progression and to decrease mortality and to dramatically reduce HIV RNA levels and increase CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocyte counts compared to dual nucleoside therapy (2, 3). This protease inhibitor has been studied in small, uncontrolled pediatric trials but has not been approved in this age group. It has been studied in dosage ranges of 300-600 mg/m<sup>2</sup> given every 8 hours (1, 4-10). In general, IDV regimens were well-tolerated and both virologic and immunologic responses were observed. In an open-label study in twenty-eight children receiving IDV/ZDV/3TC, 70% of children had HIV RNA levels of <500 copies/ml after 6 months of therapy (7). In an open-label study of IDV/d4T/3TC treatment in twenty-five Italian children, HIV RNA levels were maintained at <400 copies/ml after 18 months of therapy in 87% of children who entered the study with CD4<sup>+</sup> cell counts in CDC Immune Class 2 and 72% of those who entered with CDC Immune Class 3 (8). In a study in thirty-three infected children who had received  $\geq 96$  weeks of treatment with IDV/ZDV/3TC (with an initial 16 weeks of IDV monotherapy), a median increase in CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count of 199/mm<sup>3</sup> and a median decrease in HIV RNA of 0.74 log was observed at

96 weeks (11). Virologic response in this study may have been impacted by the prolonged period of IDV monotherapy received prior to combination with ZDV/3TC.

References:

1. Mueller BU, Sleasman J, Nelson RP et al. A phase I/II study of the protease inhibitor indinavir in children with HIV infection. *Pediatrics* 1998; 102 (1 Pt 1): 101-109.
2. Hammer S, Katzenstein D, Hughes M et al. A Trial Comparing Nucleoside Monotherapy with Combination Therapy in HIV-Infected Adults with CD4<sup>+</sup> Cell Counts from 200 to 500 per Cubic Millimeter. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1996; 335(15): 1091-1098.
3. Gulick RM, Mellors JW, Havlir D et al. Treatment with indinavir, zidovudine, and lamivudine in adults with human immunodeficiency virus infection and prior antiretroviral therapy. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1997; 337(11): 734-739.
4. Kline MW, Fletcher CV, Harris AT et al. A pilot study of combination therapy with indinavir, stavudine (d4T), and didanosine (ddI) in children infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1998; 132(3 Pt 1): 543-546.
5. Fletcher CV, Brundage RC, Remmel RP et al. Pharmacologic characteristics of indinavir, didanosine, and stavudine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children receiving combination therapy. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2000; 44(4): 1029-1034.
6. Wintergerst U, Hoffmann F, Solder B, et al. Comparison of two antiretroviral triple combinations including the protease inhibitor indinavir in children infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 1998; 17:495-499.
7. van Rossum AMC, Niesters HGM, Geelen SPM, et al. Clinical and virologic response to combination treatment with indinavir, zidovudine, and lamivudine in children with human immunodeficiency virus-1 infection: a multicenter study in The Netherlands. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2000; 136:780-788.
8. Vigano A, Dally L, Bricalli D, et al. Clinical and immuno-virological characterization of the efficacy of stavudine, lamivudine and indinavir in human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1999; 135:675-682.
9. Gatti G, Vigano A, Sala N, et al. Indinavir pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2000; 44:752-755.
10. Burger DM, van Rossum AMC, Hugen PWH, et al. Pharmacokinetics of the protease inhibitor indinavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2001; 45:701-705.
11. Jankelevich S, Mueller BU, Mackall CL, et al. Long-term virologic and immunologic responses in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children treated with indinavir, zidovudine and lamivudine. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 2001; 183:1116-1120.

**Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/RTV, ABT-378/r, Kaletra™)**

URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/21251s2lbl.pdf>

URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-LPV/RTV](#)

*Overview*

Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/RTV) is a fixed combination of these two protease inhibitors (133.3 mg of lopinavir plus 33.3 mg of ritonavir). LPV/RTV received FDA approval in 2000 for combination with other antiretroviral agents for the treatment of HIV-1 infection in adults and pediatric patients age six months and older. It is available in both liquid and solid formulations. Like other PIs, LPV/RTV is metabolized by the hepatic cytochrome P450 system and multiple drug interactions are possible (see product label). Administration of LPV/RTV with food increases plasma concentrations; to enhance bioavailability and minimize pharmacokinetic variability, LPV/RTV should be taken with food.

### *Resistance*

Resistance to LPV/RTV has been associated with genotypic mutations at 11 positions of the protease enzyme including codons 10, 20, 24, 46, 53, 54, 63, 71, 82, 84, and 90 (1). Importantly, high-level resistance generally requires at least 6 mutations. Cross-resistance among protease inhibitors is likely.

### *Adverse Effects*

The most common side effects associated with LPV/RTV have been diarrhea, asthenia, and triglyceride and cholesterol elevations. Pancreatitis has been reported in adult patients taking LPV/RTV. High triglyceride levels may be a risk factor for pancreatitis to develop. As with all agents in this class, new onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of pre-existing diabetes mellitus, hyperglycemia, and diabetic ketoacidosis may occur.

### *Pediatric Experience*

The use of dual protease inhibitors that include ritonavir (RTV) have been studied in adults. In these combinations, rather than being used for its antiretroviral activity, RTV acts as a pharmacokinetic enhancer by inhibiting the metabolism of other protease inhibitor and therefore increasing its plasma concentrations. Ritonavir inhibits the metabolism of lopinavir and thus increases its plasma concentration. Data on combination protease inhibitors in children is more limited. ABT Study M98-940 is a Phase I/II open-label study that evaluated the pharmacokinetic profile, tolerability, safety, and efficacy of LPV/RTV oral solution and either two NRTIs or NVP plus up to two NRTIs in 100 pediatric patients. Through 24 weeks of therapy, the proportion of patients with HIV RNA <400 copies/mL was 82% for antiretroviral naïve patients and 66% for antiretroviral experienced patients. Follow up at 60 weeks was 77% and 70% for naïve and experienced patients, respectively (2). The mean increase from baseline in CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count was 328 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> for antiretroviral naïve and 335 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> for antiretroviral experienced patients treated through 24 weeks. This increased to 404 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> for naïve patients and 238 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> for treatment experienced patients at week 60.

### References:

1. Kempf D, Isaacson J, King M et al. Genotypic correlates of reduced in vitro susceptibility to ABT-378 in HIV isolates from patients failing protease inhibitor therapy. *Antiviral Therapy*. 2000; 5(Supplement 3): 29-30.
2. Saez-Llorens, X, Renz, C, Deetz, C. et al. Kaletra (Lopinavir/ Ritonavir) in HIV-Infected Children at 48 Weeks. In 8th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Feb 4-8, 2001. Chicago, IL; 2001. Abstract 680.

## **Nelfinavir (NFV, Viracept®)**

URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/20779s32lbl.pdf>

URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-NFV](#)

### *Overview*

Nelfinavir (NFV) is approved for use in children over two years of age in combination with NRTIs and NNRTIs. It is available in both oral powder and tablet formulations. Like other agents in this class it is an inhibitor of the HIV-1 protease enzyme, which results in preventing cleavage of the gag-pol polyprotein. This inhibits viral replication by producing and releasing immature, non-infectious virions. NFV is active against HIV-1 and HIV-2 strains. Oral bioavailability of NFV has been reported to be 70-80% when administered with food; bioavailability is significantly reduced when the drug is taken in a fasting state. Like other PIs, NFV is metabolized by the cytochrome P450 enzyme system in the liver, inhibits CYP3A4 and is associated with a number of clinically significant pharmacologic drug interactions (see product label).

### *Resistance*

NFV-resistant virus contains a unique protease enzyme mutation at codon 30 and does not confer cross-resistance to other PIs. However, continued use of NFV in the presence of viremia and PI mutations may result in the selection of additional mutations, which may decrease susceptibility to other PIs. There is some data suggesting that changing from NFV to another protease inhibitor may be effective if multiple PI mutations have not developed. However, NFV is not effective in virus with high-level resistance to RTV or SQV, and IDV-resistant virus is often also NFV resistant.

### *Adverse Effects*

NFV in children has been relatively well tolerated, even when dosing schemes exceed adult recommended amounts. The most common adverse effects include diarrhea, abdominal pain, flatulence and rash. As with other protease inhibitors, new onset diabetes mellitus and exacerbations of previous hyperglycemia have been reported, as has the occurrence of the lipodystrophy syndrome. The long-term safety, durability of virologic efficacy, and the feasibility of children taking this drug for long periods of time is still under investigation.

### *Pediatric Experience*

Virologic efficacy of NFV in combination with a NNRTI and/or a protease inhibitor has been evaluated in various pediatric trials, typically in children who have been NRTI experienced. In an open-label study of fifty five antiretroviral-experienced children aged 3 months to 13 years, combination of NRTIs with NFV, dosed as 20-30 mg/kg three times daily, resulted in an initial decrease in HIV RNA of at least 0.7 log in 71%, however, suppression of viral load to <400 copies/ml was observed in only 28% (1). In a study in sixteen antiretroviral naïve children, NFV in combination with either ZDV/3TC or d4T/ddI resulted in a median decrease in HIV RNA of 2.8 logs, and RNA levels were <500 copies/ml in 69% and <50 copies/ml in 44% of children after 12 months of therapy (2).

In PACTG Protocol 377, of 181 clinically stable, PI naïve children, 57% of children in the four main treatment arms had an initial suppression of plasma HIV-1 RNA to  $\leq 400$  copies/ml or  $\geq 2$  log units from baseline (3). Of children still on their study therapy at week 48, 30 to 52% of patients receiving a NFV containing regimen maintained HIV-1 RNA suppression to  $\leq 400$  copies/ml. Optimal dosing of NFV in children has not been well defined. In a small substudy of PACTG 377, NFV given as 55 mg/kg twice a day provided improved serum NFV levels compared to NFV given as 30 mg/kg three times daily. Additionally, the week 24 virologic response of decrease in RNA <400 copies/ml was higher among children receiving NFV 55 mg/kg twice daily combined with d4T/3TC than those receiving NFV 30 mg/kg three times daily combined with d4T/3TC (64% vs. 46%, respectively) (3). Baseline HIV RNA was an important predictor of viral suppression. No increase in toxicity was observed with the twice-daily NFV dosing regimen.

PACTG Protocol 382 studied fifty-seven antiretroviral experienced, PI and NNRTI-naïve children given a combination of NFV, EFV and at least one NRTI. Overall, the combination was well tolerated by most children in the study. Viral suppression to less than 50 copies/mL was seen in 53% of the children studied at 48 weeks of treatment (4).

A logistic model analysis of PACTG 377, using RNA status at week 8 (<400 vs. >400 copies/ml) as outcome and EFV and NFV area under the curve (AUC) measures as predictors, revealed that the AUC value of both drugs significantly predicted RNA outcome, even when controlling for one another. These findings indicate that EFV and NFV exposure is significantly associated with virologic effect and that, in this study, each drug acts independently in producing the virologic response. These data support the practice of ensuring that pediatric dosing regimens achieve concentrations above threshold values for all children (5).



However, NFV concentrations in infants are highly variable and lower than those seen for adults or pediatric populations receiving the labeled dosing regimen. In a pharmacokinetic study of 22 HIV-infected infants between 15 days and 2 years of age in PACTG 356 given the recommended NFV dose of 20 to 30 mg/kg three times a day, clearance was significantly higher than in older children (2.7 L/h/kg vs 1.2 L/h/kg in older children and 0.6 L/h/kg in adults), and the peak NFV levels were less than half those reported in older children (6). As a consequence, doses of 55 to 65 mg/kg twice daily are currently under study in the young children in this protocol.

#### References:

1. Krogstad P, Wiznia A, Luzuriaga K, et al. Treatment of human immunodeficiency virus 1-infected infants and children with the protease inhibitor nelfinavir mesylate. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1999; 28:1109-1118.
2. Funk MB, Linde R, Wintergerst U, et al. Preliminary experiences with triple therapy with nelfinavir and two reverse transcriptase inhibitors in previously untreated HIV-infected children. *AIDS* 1999; 13:1653-1658.
3. Wiznia A, Stanley K, Krogstad P et al. Combination nucleoside analog reverse transcriptase inhibitor(s) plus nevirapine, nelfinavir, or ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy- experienced HIV-infected children: week 24 results of a randomized controlled trial--PACTG 377. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 377 Study Team. *AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses* 2000; 16(12): 1113-1121.
4. Starr SE, Fletcher CV, Spector SA et al. Combination therapy with efavirenz, nelfinavir, and nucleoside reverse- transcriptase inhibitors in children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 382 Team. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1999; 341(25): 1874-1881.
5. Fletcher C, Fenton T, Powell C et al. Pharmacologic Characteristics of Efavirenz (EFV) and Nelfinavir (NFV) Associated with Virologic Response in HIV-Infected Children. In 8th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. Feb.4-Feb.8. Chicago, IL; 2001. Abstract 250.
6. Capparelli EV, Sullivan JL, Mofenson L, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in human immunodeficiency virus-infected infants. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2001; 20:746-751.

### **Ritonavir (RTV, Norvir®)**

URL: <http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/label/2001/20659s26lbl.pdf>

URL: [link to Guideline Appendix-RTV](#)

#### *Overview*

Ritonavir (RTV) is approved for use in children over the age of 2 years in combination with other antiretroviral agents. It was the first PI approved for use in children and is available as liquid and capsule formulations. It has specific activity for HIV-1, and to a lesser extent, HIV-2.

RTV is a potent inhibitor of the cytochrome P450 enzyme pathway and significantly interferes with the metabolism of several common medications including macrolides and certain antihistamines (see product label). Although RTV inhibits cytochrome P450 CYP3A, it induces its own metabolism. It is well absorbed with a half-life of 2 to 4 hours in children (1). Pharmacokinetic studies in HIV-infected children 2-14 years of age indicate that ritonavir clearance is greater than that seen in adults.

#### *Resistance*

The most significant genotypic resistance mutations associated with RTV are those found at codons 82, 84, 71 and 46. Multiple genotypic mutations are required for resistance to develop, although the 82 mutation appears to be necessary but not sufficient to confer phenotypic resistance. There may be cross-resistance between RTV and indinavir, and many isolates resistant to indinavir may also be resistant to

saquinavir. Use of one of these agents following the failure of another is not routinely recommended unless viral resistance status is known for the specific PI.

#### *Adverse Effects*

One small phase I study in children demonstrated a high rate of gastrointestinal intolerance (1). However, larger studies (e.g., PACTG 338) have shown better tolerance of the drug, particularly when dose escalation is used when initiating therapy. In PACTG 338, approximately 80% of children were able to tolerate RTV at 24 weeks of therapy (2). Circumoral paresthesia and taste perversion have been reported in adults receiving the drug. Hepatic transaminase elevations exceeding 5 times the upper limit of normal, clinical hepatitis and jaundice have been reported in adults receiving RTV alone or in combination with other antiretroviral drugs. There may be an increased risk for transaminase elevation in patients with underlying hepatitis B or C virus infection. Caution should be exercised when administering RTV to patients with pre-existing liver disease.

#### *Pediatric Experience*

RTV monotherapy is associated with substantial decreases in HIV RNA levels and increases in CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocyte counts (3, 4), but resistance develops with its continued use as a single agent (5). Addition of RTV to established antiretroviral regimens significantly decreased clinical progression and mortality in a 6-month clinical trial in infected adults with advanced disease (6). Addition of a single drug, including a PI, to a failing regimen is not advised; at least two new drugs should be given when changing a regimen.

An interim analysis of PACTG 338 demonstrated that children receiving RTV and one or two NRTIs had a mean decrease of >1.5 log in viral RNA levels after 12 weeks of therapy. After 48 weeks of RTV plus two NRTIs 42% of children maintained HIV-RNA levels below the limits of detection of the assay compared with 27% of children receiving RTV plus one NRTI (7). Another small study of protease inhibitor naïve children receiving RTV with two NRTIs showed an increase of greater than 400 CD4<sup>+</sup> cells/mm<sup>3</sup> after 12 months of therapy (8). PACTG Protocol 377 randomized antiretroviral experienced, PI and NNRTI-naïve children to four different treatment regimens including RTV/d4T/NVP. The median increase in CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count for those on this regimen was 254 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> and 41% of children had HIV RNA less than 400 copies/mL at 24 weeks of treatment (9).

Similar to that found with other agents of its class, clearance of RTV is greater in young infants than that seen in older children and adults. Preliminary data from PACTG 345, which looked at RTV alone and in combination with 3TC and ZDV in children less than 2 years of age, showed that concentrations are highly variable and doses of 350 to 450 mg/m<sup>2</sup> twice a day may not be sufficient to suppress viral replication in this age group.

#### References:

1. Mueller BU, Nelson RP, Jr., Slesman J et al. A phase I/II study of the protease inhibitor ritonavir in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatrics* 1998; 101(3 Pt 1): 335-343.
2. Yogev R, Stanley K, Nachman S et al. Virologic efficacy of ZDV+3TC vs. d4T+Ritonavir (RTV) vs. ZDV+3TC+RTV in stable antiretroviral experienced HIV-infected children (PACTG Trial 338). In 37th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Sept.28-Oct.1. Toronto, Canada; 1997. Abs. LB-6 p.
3. Danner S, Carr A, Leonard J. A short-term study of the safety, pharmacokinetics and efficacy of ritonavir, an inhibitor of HIV-1 protease. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1995; 333:1528-1533.
4. Markowitz M, Saag M, Powderly W. A preliminary study of ritonavir, an inhibitor of HIV-1 protease. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1995; 333:1534-1539.
5. Lewis JS, II, Terriff CM, Coulston DR et al. Protease Inhibitors: A Therapeutic Breakthrough for the Treatment of Patients with Human Immunodeficiency Virus. *Clinical Therapeutics* 1997; 19(2): 187-214.

6. Cameron DW, Heath-Chiozzi M, Danner S et al. Randomised placebo-controlled trial of ritonavir in advanced HIV-1 disease. The Advanced HIV Disease Ritonavir Study Group. *Lancet* 1998; 351(9102): 543-549
7. Nachman SA, Stanley K, Yogev R et al. Nucleoside analogs plus ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy-experienced HIV-infected children: a randomized controlled trial. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 338 Study Team. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2000; 283(4): 492-498.
8. Thuret I, Michel G, Chambost H et al. Combination antiretroviral therapy including ritonavir in children infected with human immunodeficiency. *AIDS* 1999; 13(1): 81-87.
9. Wiznia A, Stanley K, Krogstad P et al. Combination nucleoside analog reverse transcriptase inhibitor(s) plus nevirapine, nelfinavir, or ritonavir in stable antiretroviral therapy- experienced HIV-infected children: week 24 results of a randomized controlled trial--PACTG 377. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 377 Study Team. *AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses* 2000; 16(12): 1113-1121.

### **Saquinavir (SQV, hard gel capsule, Invirase®); soft gel capsule, Fortovase®)**

**[URL: http://www.fda.gov/cder/OGD/rld/20828S8.PDF](http://www.fda.gov/cder/OGD/rld/20828S8.PDF)**

**[URL: link to Guideline Appendix SQV](#)**

#### *Overview*

In 1995, saquinavir (SQV) became the first protease inhibitor approved for use in adolescents and adults older than 16 years, in combination therapy with NRTIs. In its original formulation, as a hard gel capsule (Invirase), it had very limited bioavailability (~ 4%) following oral administration. In 1997, the FDA approved a soft gel capsule preparation (Fortovase) with significantly enhanced oral bioavailability. SQV has not been formally approved for use in children and is not yet available in a liquid preparation. Absorption of SQV soft gel capsule is enhanced by food.

Saquinavir is more than 90% metabolized by cytochrome P450 3A4 isoenzymes, the same enzyme system which metabolizes ritonavir. RTV and NFV have been shown to inhibit the metabolism of SQV; plasma levels of SQV are increased when it is co-administered with these agents (1, 2). As with the other PIs, multiple pharmacological interactions are possible with coadministered agents that are also metabolized by cytochrome P450 3A4 (see product label).

#### *Resistance*

Resistance to SQV is associated with a unique mutation pattern in the HIV-protease gene primarily in codons 48 and 90, and viral isolates resistant to SQV are not necessarily resistant to the other protease inhibitors. However, phenotypic resistance to NFV has been demonstrated following SQV use, despite the lack of the usual NFV resistance mutations. Additional codons associated with viral resistance to this agent include those at codons 84 and 82. Continued use of SQV without complete virologic suppression may lead to cross-resistance with other PIs due to the accumulation of secondary mutations. Viral isolates resistant to RTV and IDV are usually also resistant to SQV.

#### *Adverse Effects*

The drug appears to be well tolerated, with mild gastrointestinal disturbances (diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain) and reversible elevations in liver function tests being the most common side effects reported in adults. As with all agents in this class, new onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of pre-existing diabetes mellitus, hyperglycemia, and diabetic ketoacidosis have been reported.

#### *Pediatric Experience*

Despite the low oral bioavailability of the hard gel capsule form of SQV, the drug has demonstrated virologic efficacy in clinical trials of combination therapy with ZDV and ddC in adults; a 0.8 log decrease

in HIV RNA after 48 weeks of therapy was observed (3). In a monotherapy regimen of high dose SQV, the maximum decrease in HIV RNA was 1.3 logs (4). Initial pharmacokinetic studies in children found pharmacokinetics of the soft gel formulation similar to that in adults (7). SQV soft gelatin capsule was studied in combination with 2 NRTIs in fourteen children; while combination therapy was well tolerated, in this study SQV plasma concentrations were lower than expected (5). After 48 weeks of therapy with SQV plus dual NRTIs, median change in HIV RNA was -2.12 log, with 36% of children having RNA <50 copies/ml.

SQV administered in combination with low dose RTV, using the RTV as a pharmacologic “booster” of SQV level, has been studied in adults, but have had limited evaluations in children. Studies to find the most effective dose combination of SQV and RTV with the least toxicity are underway. The soft gel formulation of SQV in combination with NRTIs and RTV or NFV is currently being studied in pediatric patients (5, 6, 9). In a study of thirteen children, the addition of NFV to a regimen of SQV with one or two NRTIs resulted in significant increase in SQV concentrations, and median change in HIV RNA levels was 2.58 log, with 62% of children having HIV RNA levels <50 copies/ml at 48 weeks (8). In another study in eleven HIV-infected children with intensive prior therapy, salvage therapy with combination SQV/RTV and SQV/NFV with at least one NRTI were well tolerated; reduction in viral load and increase in CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count was more pronounced in the group receiving SQV/RTV combination (9). However, safety and appropriate dosing information for children remains limited.

#### References:

1. Merry C, Barry MG, Mulcahy F, et al. Saquinavir pharmacokinetics alone and in combination with nelfinavir in HIV-infected patients. *AIDS* 1997; 11(15): F117-120.
2. Merry C, Barry MG, Mulcahy F, et al. Saquinavir pharmacokinetics alone and in combination with ritonavir in HIV-infected patients. *AIDS* 1997; 11(4): F29-33.
3. Collier AC, Coombs RW, Schoenfeld DA, et al. Treatment of human immunodeficiency virus infection with saquinavir, zidovudine, and zalcitabine. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1996; 334:1011-1017.
4. Schapiro J, Winters M, Stewart F et al. The effect of high-dose saquinavir on viral load and CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts in HIV-infected patients. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1996; 124:1039-1050.
5. Kline M, Fletcher C, Lindsey J, et al. A randomized trial of combination therapy with saquinavir soft gel capsules (SQV) in HIV-infected children. 8th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, February 2001. Chicago, IL; Abstract 683.
6. Brundage RC, Kline MW, Lindsey JC et al. Pharmacokinetics of saquinavir (SQV) with nelfinavir (NFV) or ritonavir (RTV) in HIV-infected children. 8th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, February 4-8, 2001. Chicago, IL; Abstract 728.
7. Fletcher C, Kline M, Brundage R et al. Pharmacological characteristics of saquinavir soft gel capsules given with nucleoside antiretroviral agents with and without nelfinavir in HIV-infected children. 5th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Feb.1-5, 1998. Chicago, IL; Abstract 121.
8. Kline MW, Brundage RC, Fletcher CV, et al. Combination therapy with saquinavir soft gelatin capsules in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2001; 20:666-671.
9. Hoffmann F, Notheis G, Wintergerst U, et al. Comparison of ritonavir plus saquinavir- and nelfinavir plus saquinavir-containing regimens as salvage therapy in children with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* 2000; 19:47-51.